US011707652B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Burnett et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 11,707,652 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: *Jul. 25, 2023**

(54) **AERODYNAMIC GOLF CLUB HEAD**

(71) Applicant: **Taylor Made Golf Company, Inc.**, Carlsbad, CA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Michael Scott Burnett**, McKinney, TX (US); **Jeffrey J. Albertsen**, Plano, TX (US); **Marc Schmidt**, Dallas, TX (US)

(73) Assignee: **TAYLOR MADE GOLF COMPANY, INC.**, Carlsbad, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 117 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **17/485,977**

(22) Filed: **Sep. 27, 2021**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2022/0008795 A1 Jan. 13, 2022

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 16/707,774, filed on Dec. 9, 2019, now Pat. No. 11,130,026, which is a continuation of application No. 16/105,001, filed on Aug. 20, 2018, now Pat. No. 10,500,451, which is a continuation of application No. 15/603,605, filed on May 24, 2017, now Pat. No. 10,052,531, which is a continuation of application No. 15/012,880, filed on Feb. 2, 2016, now Pat. No. 9,682,294, which is a

(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A63B 53/04 (2015.01)
A63B 60/00 (2015.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC *A63B 53/0466* (2013.01); *A63B 53/0408* (2020.08); *A63B 53/0412* (2020.08); *A63B 53/0437* (2020.08); *A63B 60/006* (2020.08); *A63B 2225/01* (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC A63B 53/0466; A63B 53/0408; A63B 53/0412; A63B 53/0437; A63B 60/006; A63B 2225/01
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

1,526,438 A 2/1925 Scott
2,083,189 A * 6/1937 Crooker A63B 53/04
473/327

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 0446935 9/1991
JP H06190088 7/1994

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

International Searching Authority (USPTO), International Search Report and Written Opinion for International Application No. PCT/US 09/49742, dated Aug. 27, 2009, 11 pages.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Michael D Dennis
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Dawsey Co., LPA; David J. Dawsey

(57) **ABSTRACT**

An aerodynamic golf club head having body attributes that impart beneficial aerodynamic properties.

49 Claims, 17 Drawing Sheets

Club Head Front to Back Dimension vs. MOly

$R^2 = 0.8675$

Related U.S. Application Data

continuation of application No. 14/260,328, filed on Apr. 24, 2014, now Pat. No. 9,278,266, which is a continuation of application No. 14/069,503, filed on Nov. 1, 2013, now Pat. No. 8,734,269, which is a continuation of application No. 13/969,670, filed on Aug. 19, 2013, now Pat. No. 8,602,909, which is a continuation of application No. 13/670,703, filed on Nov. 7, 2012, now Pat. No. 8,550,936, which is a continuation of application No. 13/304,863, filed on Nov. 28, 2011, now abandoned, which is a continuation of application No. 12/367,839, filed on Feb. 9, 2009, now Pat. No. 8,083,609.

(60) Provisional application No. 61/101,919, filed on Oct. 1, 2008, provisional application No. 61/080,892, filed on Jul. 15, 2008.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2,460,435	A	2/1949	Schaffer
3,085,804	A	4/1963	Pieper
3,166,320	A	1/1965	Onions
3,266,805	A	8/1966	Bulla
3,468,544	A	9/1969	Antonious
3,637,218	A	1/1972	Carlino
3,893,672	A	7/1975	Schonher
3,941,390	A	3/1976	Hussey
3,985,363	A	10/1976	Jepson et al.
3,997,170	A	12/1976	Goldberg
4,043,563	A	8/1977	Churchward
4,065,133	A	12/1977	Gordos
4,077,633	A	3/1978	Studen
4,139,196	A	2/1979	Riley
4,147,349	A	4/1979	Jeghers
4,165,076	A	8/1979	Cella
4,193,601	A	3/1980	Reid, Jr. et al.
D256,709	S	9/1980	Reid, Jr. et al.
4,247,105	A	1/1981	Jeghers
D265,112	S	6/1982	Lyons
4,431,192	A	2/1984	Stuff, Jr.
4,432,549	A	2/1984	Zebelean
4,471,961	A	9/1984	Masghati et al.
4,527,799	A	7/1985	Solheim
4,592,552	A	6/1986	Garber
4,754,974	A	7/1988	Kobayashi
4,787,636	A	11/1988	Honma
4,811,950	A	3/1989	Kobayashi
4,881,739	A	11/1989	Garcia
4,895,367	A	1/1990	Kajita et al.
4,919,428	A	4/1990	Perkins
5,000,454	A	3/1991	Soda
5,054,784	A	10/1991	Collins
5,092,599	A	3/1992	Okumoto et al.
5,116,054	A	5/1992	Johnson
5,120,061	A	6/1992	Tsuchida et al.
5,190,289	A	3/1993	Nagai et al.
5,193,810	A	3/1993	Antonious
5,193,811	A	3/1993	Okumoto et al.
5,219,408	A	6/1993	Sun
5,221,086	A	6/1993	Antonious
5,255,919	A	10/1993	Johnson
5,301,944	A	4/1994	Koehler
5,318,297	A	6/1994	Davis et al.
D349,543	S	8/1994	MacDougall
5,340,106	A	8/1994	Ravaris
5,435,558	A	7/1995	Iriarte
5,482,280	A	1/1996	Yamawaki
5,499,814	A	3/1996	Lu
5,501,459	A	3/1996	Endo
5,511,786	A	4/1996	Antonious
5,518,243	A	5/1996	Redman
5,547,427	A	8/1996	Rigal et al.
5,558,332	A	9/1996	Cook
D375,130	S	10/1996	Hlinka et al.
D378,770	S	4/1997	Hlinka et al.
5,632,695	A	5/1997	Hlinka et al.
5,676,606	A	10/1997	Schaeffer et al.
5,695,412	A	12/1997	Cook
5,700,208	A	12/1997	Nelms
5,720,674	A	2/1998	Galy
5,759,114	A	6/1998	Bluto et al.
5,785,608	A	7/1998	Collins
5,797,807	A	8/1998	Moore
D397,750	S	9/1998	Frazetta
RE35,931	E	10/1998	Schroder et al.
D401,650	S	11/1998	Burrows
5,851,160	A	12/1998	Rugge et al.
5,876,293	A	3/1999	Musty
5,885,166	A	3/1999	Shiraishi
5,890,971	A	4/1999	Shiraishi
5,935,020	A	8/1999	Stites et al.
5,954,595	A	9/1999	Antonious
5,967,905	A	10/1999	Nakahara et al.
6,001,029	A	12/1999	Kobayashi
6,033,319	A	3/2000	Farrar
6,074,308	A	6/2000	Domas
6,083,115	A	7/2000	King
6,093,113	A	7/2000	Mertens
6,123,627	A	9/2000	Antonious
6,139,445	A	10/2000	Werner et al.
6,162,132	A	12/2000	Yoneyama
6,168,537	B1	1/2001	Ezawa
6,248,025	B1	6/2001	Murphy et al.
6,332,848	B1	12/2001	Long et al.
6,344,002	B1	2/2002	Kajita
6,402,639	B1 *	6/2002	Iwata A63B 53/0466
			473/45
6,458,042	B1	10/2002	Chen
6,464,598	B1	10/2002	Miller
6,471,604	B2	10/2002	Hocknell et al.
6,491,592	B2	12/2002	Cackett et al.
6,530,847	B1	3/2003	Antonious
6,565,452	B2	5/2003	Helmstetter et al.
6,575,845	B2	6/2003	Galloway et al.
6,582,323	B2	6/2003	Soracco et al.
6,592,466	B2	7/2003	Helmstetter et al.
6,607,452	B2	8/2003	Helmstetter et al.
D482,420	S	11/2003	Burrows
6,645,086	B1	11/2003	Chen
6,648,773	B1	11/2003	Evans
6,663,504	B2	12/2003	Hocknell et al.
6,669,578	B1	12/2003	Evans
6,676,536	B1	1/2004	Jacobson
6,723,002	B1	4/2004	Barlow
6,739,982	B2	5/2004	Murphy et al.
6,739,983	B2	5/2004	Helmstetter et al.
6,758,763	B2	7/2004	Murphy et al.
6,773,359	B1	8/2004	Lee
6,776,723	B2	8/2004	Bliss et al.
D501,903	S	2/2005	Tanaka
6,855,068	B2	2/2005	Antonious
6,860,818	B2	3/2005	Mahaffey et al.
6,860,824	B2	3/2005	Evans
6,875,129	B2	4/2005	Erickson et al.
6,881,159	B2	4/2005	Galloway et al.
6,890,267	B2	5/2005	Mahaffey et al.
6,926,619	B2	8/2005	Helmstetter et al.
6,929,565	B2	8/2005	Nakahara et al.
6,939,247	B1	9/2005	Schweigert et al.
6,955,612	B2	10/2005	Lu
6,988,960	B2	1/2006	Mahaffey et al.
6,991,558	B2	1/2006	Beach et al.
D515,643	S	2/2006	Oritz
6,994,636	B2	2/2006	Hocknell et al.
6,994,637	B2	2/2006	Murphy et al.
7,004,849	B2	2/2006	Cameron
7,025,692	B2	4/2006	Erickson et al.
7,025,695	B2	4/2006	Mitsuba
D522,601	S	6/2006	Schweiger
7,066,835	B2 *	6/2006	Evans A63B 60/00
			473/345

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,070,517 B2	7/2006	Cackett et al.
7,086,962 B2	8/2006	Galloway et al.
7,097,573 B2	8/2006	Erickson et al.
7,118,493 B2	10/2006	Galloway
7,121,957 B2	10/2006	Hocknell et al.
7,125,344 B2	10/2006	Hocknell et al.
7,128,661 B2	10/2006	Soracco et al.
7,128,664 B2	10/2006	Onoda et al.
7,144,333 B2	12/2006	Murphy et al.
7,163,470 B2	1/2007	Galloway et al.
7,166,038 B2	1/2007	Williams et al.
7,169,058 B1	1/2007	Fagan
D537,495 S	2/2007	Schweiger
7,175,541 B2	2/2007	Lo
7,189,165 B2	3/2007	Yamamoto
D543,600 S	5/2007	Oldknow
D544,939 S	6/2007	Radcliffe et al.
7,229,362 B2	6/2007	Tavares
D549,792 S	8/2007	Parise
7,252,599 B2	8/2007	Hasegawa
7,258,625 B2	8/2007	Kawaguchi et al.
7,258,630 B2	8/2007	Erickson et al.
7,258,631 B2	8/2007	Galloway et al.
7,273,419 B2	9/2007	Evans et al.
D552,198 S	10/2007	Schweiger
D554,720 S	11/2007	Barez et al.
7,291,074 B2	11/2007	Kouno et al.
7,291,075 B2	11/2007	Williams et al.
7,294,064 B2	11/2007	Tsurumaki et al.
7,306,527 B2	12/2007	Williams et al.
7,311,614 B2	12/2007	Kumamoto
D564,611 S	3/2008	Llewellyn
7,338,390 B2	3/2008	Lindsay
7,344,452 B2	3/2008	Imamoto et al.
7,371,191 B2	5/2008	Sugimoto
7,377,860 B2	5/2008	Breier et al.
7,390,266 B2	6/2008	Gwon
7,402,113 B2	7/2008	Mori et al.
7,413,520 B1	8/2008	Hocknell et al.
7,416,496 B2	8/2008	Galloway et al.
7,431,667 B2	10/2008	Vincent et al.
7,435,190 B2	10/2008	Sugimoto
7,452,286 B2	11/2008	Lin et al.
7,462,109 B2	12/2008	Erickson et al.
7,470,201 B2	12/2008	Nakahara et al.
7,476,161 B2	1/2009	Williams et al.
7,481,720 B2	1/2009	Tavares
D589,103 S	3/2009	Kohno
7,497,789 B2	3/2009	Burnett et al.
7,503,854 B2	3/2009	Galloway et al.
7,524,249 B2	4/2009	Breier et al.
7,549,935 B2	6/2009	Foster et al.
7,607,991 B2	10/2009	Sorenson
7,628,713 B2	12/2009	Tavares
7,632,193 B2	12/2009	Thielen
7,637,822 B2	12/2009	Foster et al.
7,658,686 B2	2/2010	Soracco
7,674,187 B2	3/2010	Cackett et al.
7,674,189 B2	3/2010	Beach et al.
7,674,190 B2	3/2010	Galloway et al.
7,691,008 B2	4/2010	Oyama
7,731,603 B2	6/2010	Beach et al.
7,749,097 B2	7/2010	Foster et al.
7,758,454 B2	7/2010	Burnett et al.
D622,338 S	8/2010	Kohno
D622,795 S	8/2010	Furutate
7,766,765 B2	8/2010	Oyama
7,771,291 B1	8/2010	Willett et al.
7,785,212 B2	8/2010	Lukasiewicz, Jr. et al.
7,803,065 B2	9/2010	Breier et al.
7,811,178 B2	10/2010	Davis
7,846,038 B2	12/2010	Foster et al.
7,927,229 B2	4/2011	Jertson et al.
7,931,546 B2	4/2011	Bennett et al.
7,934,998 B2	5/2011	Yokota
7,938,740 B2	5/2011	Breier et al.
7,980,964 B2	7/2011	Soracco
3,007,371 A1	8/2011	Breier et al.
7,993,216 B2	8/2011	Lee
8,012,038 B1	9/2011	Beach et al.
8,012,039 B2	9/2011	Greaney et al.
3,043,167 A1	10/2011	Boyd et al.
8,038,545 B2	10/2011	Soracco
3,062,151 A1	11/2011	Boyd et al.
8,083,609 B2	12/2011	Burnett et al.
8,088,021 B2	1/2012	Albertsen et al.
8,100,781 B2	1/2012	Burnett et al.
8,133,135 B2	3/2012	Stites et al.
8,147,354 B2	4/2012	Hartwell et al.
8,167,739 B2	5/2012	Lukasiewicz, Jr. et al.
8,187,115 B2	5/2012	Bennett et al.
8,187,119 B2	5/2012	Rae et al.
8,216,087 B2	7/2012	Breier et al.
8,221,260 B2	7/2012	Stites et al.
8,226,499 B2	7/2012	Soracco
8,235,844 B2	8/2012	Albertsen et al.
8,303,433 B2	11/2012	Roach et al.
8,337,326 B2	12/2012	Lukasiewicz, Jr. et al.
8,353,786 B2	1/2013	Beach et al.
8,409,032 B2	4/2013	Myrhum et al.
8,419,569 B2	4/2013	Bennett et al.
8,425,827 B2	4/2013	Lee
8,435,134 B2	5/2013	Tang et al.
8,460,592 B2	6/2013	Breier et al.
D686,679 S	7/2013	Greensmith et al.
8,475,292 B2	7/2013	Rahrig et al.
8,496,544 B2	7/2013	Curtis et al.
8,506,421 B2	8/2013	Stites et al.
8,523,705 B2	9/2013	Breier et al.
8,529,368 B2	9/2013	Rice et al.
D692,077 S	10/2013	Greensmith et al.
8,550,935 B2	10/2013	Stites et al.
D696,366 S	12/2013	Milo et al.
D696,367 S	12/2013	Taylor et al.
D697,152 S	1/2014	Harbert et al.
8,622,847 B2	1/2014	Beach et al.
8,663,029 B2	3/2014	Beach et al.
8,678,946 B2	3/2014	Boyd et al.
8,715,109 B2	5/2014	Bennett et al.
8,747,252 B2	6/2014	Lukasiewicz, Jr. et al.
8,784,232 B2	7/2014	Jertson et al.
8,834,289 B2	9/2014	de la Cruz et al.
8,834,290 B2	9/2014	Bezilla et al.
8,834,294 B1	9/2014	Seluga et al.
8,894,508 B2	11/2014	Myrhum et al.
8,938,871 B2	1/2015	Roach et al.
8,986,133 B2	3/2015	Bennett et al.
9,044,653 B2	6/2015	Wahl et al.
9,205,311 B2	12/2015	Stokke
9,308,423 B1	4/2016	Tang et al.
9,320,949 B2	4/2016	Golden et al.
9,393,471 B2	7/2016	Beno et al.
9,421,438 B2	8/2016	Beno et al.
9,440,123 B2	9/2016	Beno et al.
9,457,245 B2	10/2016	Lee
9,474,946 B2	10/2016	Bennett et al.
9,498,688 B2	11/2016	Galvan et al.
9,504,889 B2	11/2016	Mitzel et al.
9,616,301 B2	4/2017	Clausen et al.
9,636,559 B2	5/2017	de la Cruz et al.
9,682,299 B2	6/2017	Tang et al.
9,776,053 B2	10/2017	Burnett et al.
9,821,198 B2	11/2017	Stokke
9,839,819 B2	12/2017	Mizutani et al.
9,855,474 B2	1/2018	Beno et al.
9,901,794 B2	2/2018	Beno et al.
9,908,013 B2	3/2018	Hettinger et al.
10,004,958 B2	6/2018	Tang et al.
10,076,689 B2	9/2018	de la Cruz et al.
10,076,694 B2	9/2018	Galvan et al.
10,130,855 B2	11/2018	Stokke
10,155,144 B2	12/2018	Lee
10,213,663 B2	2/2019	Goudarzi et al.
10,245,481 B1	4/2019	Cleghorn

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

10,286,265 B2	5/2019	Tsunashima et al.	
2002/0183130 A1	12/2002	Pacinella	
2002/0183134 A1	12/2002	Allen et al.	
2003/0083151 A1	5/2003	Nakahara et al.	
2003/0114239 A1	6/2003	Mase	
2003/0211901 A1*	11/2003	Day	A63B 60/00
			473/330
2003/0220154 A1	11/2003	Anelli	
2004/0097299 A1	5/2004	Soracco	
2004/0157678 A1	8/2004	Kohno	
2004/0162156 A1	8/2004	Kohno	
2004/0192463 A1	9/2004	Tsurumaki et al.	
2005/0009622 A1	1/2005	Antonious	
2005/0059508 A1	3/2005	Burnett et al.	
2006/0009305 A1	1/2006	Lindsay	
2006/0094535 A1	5/2006	Cameron	
2006/0100028 A1	5/2006	Kuo	
2006/0116218 A1	6/2006	Burnett et al.	
2006/0258481 A1	11/2006	Oyama	
2006/0281581 A1	12/2006	Yamamoto	
2007/0105657 A1	5/2007	Hirano	
2007/0275792 A1	11/2007	Horacek et al.	
2008/0039234 A1	2/2008	Williams et al.	
2008/0132356 A1	6/2008	Chao et al.	
2008/0146374 A1	6/2008	Beach et al.	
2008/0171610 A1	7/2008	Shin	
2008/0188320 A1	8/2008	Kamatari	
2009/0069114 A1	3/2009	Foster et al.	
2009/0124411 A1	5/2009	Rae et al.	
2009/0137338 A1	5/2009	Kajita	
2009/0149275 A1	6/2009	Rae et al.	
2009/0170632 A1	7/2009	Beach et al.	
2009/0191980 A1	7/2009	Greaney et al.	
2009/0286611 A1	11/2009	Beach et al.	
2010/0016095 A1	1/2010	Burnett et al.	
2011/0014992 A1	1/2011	Morrissey	
2012/0071267 A1	3/2012	Burnett et al.	
2012/0071268 A1	3/2012	Albertsen et al.	
2012/0172146 A1	7/2012	Greaney et al.	
2012/0316007 A1	12/2012	Burnett et al.	
2013/0079172 A1	3/2013	Roger et al.	
2013/0123040 A1	5/2013	Willett et al.	
2014/0155193 A1	6/2014	Takechi	
2014/0256461 A1	9/2014	Beach et al.	
2017/0312591 A1	11/2017	Saso	
2017/0319917 A1	11/2017	Henrikson et al.	
2018/0361216 A1	12/2018	Galvan et al.	
2019/0070469 A1	3/2019	Lee	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

JP	07112041	5/1995	
JP	H10225538	8/1998	
JP	H10263118	10/1998	
JP	H11114102	4/1999	
JP	H11155982	6/1999	
JP	4703085	5/2000	
JP	2000202075 A	7/2000	
JP	3070587 U	8/2000	
JP	2000245876 A	9/2000	
JP	2001212272 A	8/2001	
JP	2002119627 A	8/2001	
JP	2002-052099	2/2002	
JP	2002136625	5/2002	
JP	2003135632	5/2003	
JP	2003199848	7/2003	
JP	2003210621	7/2003	
JP	2003524487	8/2003	
JP	2003320061	11/2003	
JP	2004174224	6/2004	
JP	2004232397	8/2004	
JP	2004261451	9/2004	
JP	2004265992	9/2004	
JP	2004271516	9/2004	
JP	2004313762	11/2004	
JP	2004351054	12/2004	
JP	2004351173	12/2004	
JP	2005073736	3/2005	
JP	2005111172	4/2005	
JP	2005137494	6/2005	
JP	2005137788	6/2005	
JP	2005137940	6/2005	
JP	4138378	7/2005	
JP	3719924	11/2005	
JP	2006006975	1/2006	
JP	3744814	2/2006	
JP	3762906	4/2006	
JP	3762906 B2	4/2006	
JP	4500296	10/2006	
JP	3895571	3/2007	
JP	2007136068 A	6/2007	
JP	3953299	8/2007	
JP	3963999 B2	8/2007	
JP	2007229002 A	9/2007	
JP	2007275552 A	10/2007	
JP	4033035 B2	1/2008	
JP	4047682 B2	2/2008	
JP	4052113	2/2008	
JP	4054316	2/2008	
JP	4097666	6/2008	
JP	4212616	1/2009	
JP	2009000292 A	1/2009	
JP	4222118 B2	2/2009	
JP	4222119 B2	2/2009	
JP	4241779	3/2009	
JP	4287769	7/2009	
JP	4291834	7/2009	
JP	4299844	7/2009	
JP	4355245	8/2009	
JP	4326559	9/2009	
JP	4326562	9/2009	
JP	4365676	11/2009	
JP	4365871	11/2009	
JP	4398880	1/2010	
JP	4403084	1/2010	
JP	4410594	1/2010	
JP	4410606	2/2010	
JP	4441462	3/2010	
JP	4451797	4/2010	
JP	4528281	8/2010	
JP	4563062	10/2010	
JP	5467717	12/2010	
JP	3165282	1/2011	
JP	4632342	2/2011	
JP	4634828	2/2011	
JP	5223844	5/2011	
JP	4741388	8/2011	
JP	4758177	8/2011	
JP	4758178	8/2011	
JP	4783579	9/2011	
JP	4786889	10/2011	
JP	5542147	4/2012	
JP	4944830	6/2012	
JP	5601669	6/2012	
JP	4993471	8/2012	
JP	4993481	8/2012	
JP	5007332	8/2012	
JP	5037445	9/2012	
JP	5037446	9/2012	
JP	5075143	11/2012	
JP	5086884	11/2012	
JP	5102084	12/2012	
JP	5106503	12/2012	
JP	5107404	12/2012	
JP	5583717	2/2013	
JP	5174129	4/2013	
JP	5181052	4/2013	
JP	5185992	4/2013	
JP	5238628	7/2013	
JP	5249257	7/2013	
JP	5264899	8/2013	
JP	5280914	9/2013	
JP	5280975	9/2013	

(56) **References Cited**

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

JP	5324992	10/2013
JP	5341993	11/2013
JP	5342393	11/2013
JP	5349006	11/2013
JP	5359782	12/2013
JP	5374108	12/2013
JP	5377299	12/2013
JP	5952655	1/2014
JP	5152431	2/2014
JP	5421147	2/2014
JP	5427598	2/2014
JP	5451187	3/2014
JP	5601726	10/2014
JP	5637864	12/2014
JP	5671507	2/2015
JP	5690766	3/2015
JP	5785893	9/2015
JP	5785895	9/2015
JP	5795919	10/2015
JP	5823121	11/2015
JP	5823122	11/2015
JP	5886595	3/2016
JP	5886652	3/2016
JP	5996573	9/2016
JP	6002713	10/2016
JP	6011044	10/2016
JP	6074924	2/2017
JP	6082366	2/2017
JP	6476226	2/2019
WO	2005009543	2/2005

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2004; Article entitled: "The Hot List", cover page from magazine and article on pp. 82-88.
Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2005; Article entitled: "The Hot List", cover page from magazine and article on pp. 119-130. (Part 1).
Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2005; Article entitled: "The Hot List", article on pp. 131-143. (Part 2).
Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2006; Article entitled: "The Hot List", cover page from magazine and article on pp. 122-132. (Part 1).
Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2006; Article entitled: "The Hot List", article on pp. 133-143. (Part 2).
Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2007; Article entitled: "The Hot List", cover page from magazine and article on pp. 130-151.
Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2008; Article entitled: "The Hot List", cover page from magazine and article on pp. 114-139.
Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2009; Article entitled: "The Hot List", cover page from magazine and article on pp. 101-127.
International Searching Authority (USPTO), International Search Report and Written Opinion for International Application No. PCT/US2009/049418, dated Aug. 26, 2009, 10 pages.
Declaration Willett, dated Nov. 30, 2012, pp. 1-6.
"Cleveland HiBore Driver Review," http://thesandtrip.com , 7 pages, May 19, 2006.
"Invalidity Search Report for Japanese Registered Patent No. 4128970," 4pg. (Nov. 29, 2013.).

* cited by examiner

**Club Head Front to Back Dimension vs. MOIy**

$R^2 = 0.8675$

MOIy (g cm^2)

Front to Back Dimension (Inches)

Fig. 1

Club Head Speed vs. Front to Back

Club Head Speed (mph)

Front to Back Dimension (Inches)

*Fig. 2*

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. 8

Fig. 9

Fig. 10

Fig. 11

200

118

300

116

Air Flow - Vert. - 0°

400

100

SA

Fig. 12

100

110

Fig. 13

Fig. 14

Fig. 15

Fig. 16

Fig. 17

Fig. 18

Fig. 19

Fig. 20

Fig. 21

Fig. 22

AERODYNAMIC GOLF CLUB HEAD

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. nonprovisional application Ser. No. 16/707,774, filed on Dec. 9, 2019, which is a continuation U.S. nonprovisional application Ser. No. 16/105,001, filed on Aug. 20, 2018, which is a continuation of U.S. nonprovisional application Ser. No. 15/603, 605, filed on May 24, 2017, now U.S. Pat. No. 10,052,531, which is a continuation of U.S. nonprovisional application Ser. No. 15/012,880, filed on Feb. 2, 2016, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,682,294, which is a continuation of U.S. nonprovisional application Ser. No. 14/260,328, filed on Apr. 24, 2014, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,278,266, which is a continuation of U.S. nonprovisional application Ser. No. 14/069,503, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,734,269, filed on Nov. 1, 2013, which is a continuation of U.S. nonprovisional application Ser. No. 13/969,670, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,602,909, filed on Aug. 19, 2013, which is a continuation of U.S. nonprovisional application Ser. No. 13/670,703, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,550,936, filed on Nov. 7, 2012, which is a continuation of U.S. nonprovisional application Ser. No. 13/304,863, now abandoned, filed on Nov. 28, 2011, which is a continuation of U.S. nonprovisional application Ser. No. 12/367,839, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,083,609, filed on Feb. 9, 2009, which claims the benefit of U.S. provisional patent application Ser. No. 61/080,892, filed on Jul. 15, 2008, and U.S. provisional patent application Ser. No. 61/101,919, filed on Oct. 1, 2008, all of which are incorporated by reference as if completely written herein.

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

This invention was not made as part of a federally sponsored research or development project.

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates to sports equipment; particularly, to a high volume aerodynamic golf club head.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Modern high volume golf club heads, namely drivers, are being designed with little, if any, attention paid to the aerodynamics of the golf club head. This stems in large part from the fact that in the past the aerodynamics of golf club heads were studied and it was found that the aerodynamics of the club head had only minimal impact on the performance of the golf club.

The drivers of today have club head volumes that are often double the volume of the most advanced club heads from just a decade ago. In fact, virtually all modern drivers have club head volumes of at least 400 cc, with a majority having volumes right at the present USGA mandated limit of 460 cc. Still, golf club designers pay little attention to the aerodynamics of these large golf clubs; often instead focusing solely on increasing the club head's resistance to twisting during off-center shots.

The modern race to design golf club heads that greatly resist twisting, meaning that the club heads have large moments of inertia, has led to club heads having very long front-to-back dimensions. The front-to-back dimension of a golf club head, often annotated the FB dimension, is mea-

sured from the leading edge of the club face to the furthest back portion of the club head. Currently, in addition to the USGA limit on the club head volume, the USGA limits the front-to-back dimension (FB) to 5 inches and the moment of inertia about a vertical axis passing through the club head's center of gravity (CG), referred to as MOIy, to 5900 g*cm². One of skill in the art will know the meaning of "center of gravity," referred to herein as CG, from an entry level course on mechanics. With respect to wood-type golf clubs, which are generally hollow and/or having non-uniform density, the CG is often thought of as the intersection of all the balance points of the club head. In other words, if you balance the head on the face and then on the sole, the intersection of the two imaginary lines passing straight through the balance points would define the point referred to as the CG.

Until just recently the majority of drivers had what is commonly referred to as a "traditional shape" and a 460 cc club head volume. These large volume traditional shape drivers had front-to-back dimensions (FB) of approximately 4.0 inches to 4.3 inches, generally achieving an MOIy in the range of 4000-4600 g*cm². As golf club designers strove to increase MOIy as much as possible, the FB dimension of drivers started entering the range of 4.3 inches to 5.0 inches. The graph of FIG. 1 shows the FB dimension and MOIy of 83 different club head designs and nicely illustrates that high MOIy values come with large FB dimensions.

While increasing the FB dimension to achieve higher MOIy values is logical, significant adverse effects have been observed in these large FB dimension clubs. One significant adverse effect is a dramatic reduction in club head speed, which appears to have gone unnoticed by many in the industry. The graph of FIG. 2 illustrates player test data with drivers having an FB dimension greater than 3.6 inches. The graph illustrates considerably lower club head speeds for large FB dimension drivers when compared to the club head speeds of drivers having FB dimensions less than 4.4 inches. In fact, a club head speed of 104.6 mph was achieved when swinging a driver having a FB dimension of less than 3.8 inches, while the swing speed dropped over 3% to 101.5 mph when swinging a driver with a FB dimension of slightly less than 4.8 inches.

This significant decrease in club head speed is the result of the increase in aerodynamic drag forces associated with large FB dimension golf club heads. Data obtained during extensive wind tunnel testing shows a strong correlation between club head FB dimension and the aerodynamic drag measured at several critical orientations. First, orientation one is identified in FIG. 11 with a flow arrow labeled as "Air Flow—90°" and is referred to in the graphs of the figures as "lie 90 degree orientation." This orientation can be thought of as the club head resting on the ground plane (GP) with the shaft axis (SA) at the club head's design lie angle, as seen in FIG. 8. Then a 100 mph wind is directed parallel to the ground plane (GP) directly at the club face (200), as illustrated by the flow arrow labeled "Air Flow—90°" in FIG. 11.

Secondly, orientation two is identified in FIG. 11 with a flow arrow labeled as "Air Flow—60°" and is referred to in the graphs of the figures as "lie 60 degree orientation." This orientation can be thought of as the club head resting on the ground plane (GP) with the shaft axis (SA) at the club head's design lie angle, as seen in FIG. 8. Then a 100 mph wind is wind is oriented thirty degrees from a vertical plane normal to the face (200) with the wind originating from the heel (116) side of the club head, as illustrated by the flow arrow labeled "Air Flow—60°" in FIG. 11.

Thirdly, orientation three is identified in FIG. 12 with a flow arrow labeled as "Air Flow—Vert.—0°" and is referred

3

to in the graphs of the figures as "vertical 0 degree orientation." This orientation can be thought of as the club head being oriented upside down with the shaft axis (SA) vertical while being exposed to a horizontal 100 mph wind directed at the heel (116), as illustrated by the flow arrow labeled "Air Flow—Vert.—0°" in FIG. 12. Thus, the air flow is parallel to the vertical plane created by the shaft axis (SA) seen in FIG. 11, blowing from the heel (116) to the toe (118) but with the club head oriented as seen in FIG. 12.

Now referring back to orientation one, namely the orientation identified in FIG. 11 with a flow arrow labeled as "Air Flow—90°." Normalized aerodynamic drag data has been gathered for six different club heads and is illustrated in the graph of FIG. 5. At this point it is important to understand that all of the aerodynamic drag forces mentioned herein, unless otherwise stated, are aerodynamic drag forces normalized to a 120 mph airstream velocity. Thus, the illustrated aerodynamic drag force values are the actual measured drag force at the indicated airstream velocity multiplied by the square of the reference velocity, which is 120 mph, then divided by the square of the actual airstream velocity. Therefore, the normalized aerodynamic drag force plotted in FIG. 5 is the actual measured drag force when subjected to a 100 mph wind at the specified orientation, multiplied by the square of the 120 mph reference velocity, and then divided by the square of the 100 mph actual airstream velocity.

Still referencing FIG. 5, the normalized aerodynamic drag force increases non-linearly from a low of 1.2 lbf with a short 3.8 inch FB dimension club head to a high of 2.65 lbf for a club head having a FB dimension of almost 4.8 inches. The increase in normalized aerodynamic drag force is in excess of 120% as the FB dimension increases slightly less than one inch, contributing to the significant decrease in club head speed previously discussed.

The results are much the same in orientation two, namely the orientation identified in FIG. 11 with a flow arrow labeled as "Air Flow—60°." Again, normalized aerodynamic drag data has been gathered for six different club heads and is illustrated in the graph of FIG. 4. The normalized aerodynamic drag force increases non-linearly from a low of approximately 1.1 lbf with a short 3.8 inch FB dimension club head to a high of approximately 1.9 lbf for a club head having a FB dimension of almost 4.8 inches. The increase in normalized aerodynamic drag force is almost 73% as the FB dimension increases slightly less than one inch, also contributing to the significant decrease in club head speed previously discussed.

Again, the results are much the same in orientation three, namely the orientation identified in FIG. 12 with a flow arrow labeled as "Air Flow—Vert.—0°." Again, normalized aerodynamic drag data has been gathered for several different club heads and is illustrated in the graph of FIG. 3. The normalized aerodynamic drag force increases non-linearly from a low of approximately 1.15 lbf with a short 3.8 inch FB dimension club head to a high of approximately 2.05 lbf for a club head having a FB dimension of almost 4.8 inches. The increase in normalized aerodynamic drag force is in excess of 78% as the FB dimension increases slightly less than one inch, also contributing to the significant decrease in club head speed previously discussed.

Further, the graph of FIG. 6 correlates the player test club head speed data of FIG. 2 with the maximum normalized aerodynamic drag force for each club head from FIG. 3, 4, or 5. Thus, FIG. 6 shows that the club head speed drops from 104.6 mph, when the maximum normalized aerodynamic

4

drag force is only 1.2 lbf, down to 101.5 mph, when the maximum normalized aerodynamic drag force is 2.65 lbf.

The drop in club head speed just described has a significant impact on the speed at which the golf ball leaves the club face after impact and thus the distance that the golf ball travels. In fact, for a club head speed of approximately 100 mph, each 1 mph reduction in club head speed results in approximately a 1% loss in distance. The present golf club head has identified these relationships, the reason for the drop in club head speed associated with long FB dimension clubs, and several ways to reduce the aerodynamic drag force of golf club heads.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The claimed aerodynamic golf club head has recognized that the poor aerodynamic performance of large FB dimension drivers is not due solely to the large FB dimension; rather, in an effort to create large FB dimension drivers with a high MOIy value and low center of gravity (CG) dimension, golf club designers have generally created clubs that have very poor aerodynamic shaping. Several problems are the significantly flat surfaces on the body, the lack of proper shaping to account for airflow reattachment in the crown area trailing the face, and the lack of proper trailing edge design. In addition, current large FB dimension driver designs have ignored, or even tried to maximize in some cases, the frontal cross sectional area of the golf club head which increases the aerodynamic drag force.

The present aerodynamic golf club head solves these issues and results in a high volume aerodynamic golf club head having a relatively large FB dimension with beneficial moment of inertia values, while also obtaining superior aerodynamic properties unseen by other large volume, large FB dimension, high MOI golf club heads. The golf club head obtains superior aerodynamic performance through the use of unique club head shapes defined by numerous variables including, but not limited to, a crown apex located an apex height above a ground plane, and three distinct radii that improve the aerodynamic performance.

The club head has a crown section having a portion between the crown apex and a front of the club head with an apex-to-front radius of curvature that is less than 3 inches. Likewise, a portion of the crown section between the crown apex and a back of the club head has an apex-to-rear radius of curvature that is less than 3.75 inches. Lastly, a portion of the crown section has a heel-to-toe radius of curvature at the crown apex in a direction parallel to a vertical plane created by a shaft axis that is less than 4 inches. Such small radii of curvature herein have traditionally been avoided in the design of high volume golf club heads, especially in the design of high volume golf club heads having FB dimensions of 4.4 inches and greater. However, these tight radii produce a bulbous crown section that facilitates airflow reattachment as close to a club head face as possible, thereby resulting in reduced aerodynamic drag forces and producing higher club head speeds.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Without limiting the scope of the present aerodynamic golf club head as claimed below and referring now to the drawings and figures:

FIG. 1 shows a graph of FB dimensions versus MOIy;

FIG. 2 shows a graph of FB dimensions versus club head speed;

FIG. 3 shows a graph of FB dimensions versus club head normalized aerodynamic drag force;

FIG. 4 shows a graph of FB dimensions versus club head normalized aerodynamic drag force;

FIG. 5 shows a graph of FB dimensions versus club head normalized aerodynamic drag force;

FIG. 6 shows a graph of club head normalized aerodynamic drag force versus club head speed;

FIG. 7 shows a top plan view of a high volume aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 8 shows a front elevation view of a high volume aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 9 shows a toe side elevation view of a high volume aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 10 shows a front elevation view of a high volume aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 11 shows a top plan view of a high volume aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 12 shows a rotated front elevation view of a high volume aerodynamic golf club head with a vertical shaft axis orientation, not to scale;

FIG. 13 shows a front elevation view of a high volume aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 14 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step of the present invention, not to scale;

FIG. 15 shows a toe side elevation view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step of the present invention, not to scale;

FIG. 16 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step of the present invention, not to scale;

FIG. 17 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step of the present invention, not to scale;

FIG. 18 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step of the present invention, not to scale;

FIG. 19 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step of the present invention, not to scale;

FIG. 20 shows a graph of aerodynamic drag force versus club head orientation for six different configurations at 90 miles per hour;

FIG. 21 shows a graph of aerodynamic drag force versus club head orientation for six different configurations at 110 miles per hour; and

FIG. 22 shows a graph of aerodynamic drag force versus club head orientation for six different configurations at 90 miles per hour.

These drawings are provided to assist in the understanding of the exemplary embodiments of the high volume aerodynamic golf club head as described in more detail below and should not be construed as unduly limiting the present golf club head. In particular, the relative spacing, positioning, sizing and dimensions of the various elements illustrated in the drawings are not drawn to scale and may have been exaggerated, reduced or otherwise modified for the purpose of improved clarity. Those of ordinary skill in the art will also appreciate that a range of alternative configurations have been omitted simply to improve the clarity and reduce the number of drawings.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The claimed high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) enables a significant advance in the state of the art. The

preferred embodiments of the club head (100) accomplish this by new and novel arrangements of elements and methods that are configured in unique and novel ways and which demonstrate previously unavailable but preferred and desirable capabilities. The description set forth below in connection with the drawings is intended merely as a description of the presently preferred embodiments of the club head (100), and is not intended to represent the only form in which the club head (100) may be constructed or utilized. The description sets forth the designs, functions, means, and methods of implementing the club head (100) in connection with the illustrated embodiments. It is to be understood, however, that the same or equivalent functions and features may be accomplished by different embodiments that are also intended to be encompassed within the spirit and scope of the club head (100).

The present high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) has recognized that the poor aerodynamic performance of large FB dimension drivers is not due solely to the large FB dimension; rather, in an effort to create large FB dimension drivers with a high MOIy value and low center of gravity (CG) dimension, golf club designers have generally created clubs that have very poor aerodynamic shaping. The main problems are the significantly flat surfaces on the body, the lack of proper shaping to account for airflow reattachment in the crown area trailing the face, and the lack of proper trailing edge design. In addition, current large FB dimension driver designs have ignored, or even tried to maximize in some cases, the frontal cross sectional area of the golf club head which increases the aerodynamic drag force. The present aerodynamic golf club head (100) solves these issues and results in a high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) having a large FB dimension and a high MOIy.

The present high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) has a volume of at least 400 cc. It is characterized by a face-on normalized aerodynamic drag force of less than 1.5 lbf when exposed to a 100 mph wind parallel to the ground plane (GP) when the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) is positioned in a design orientation and the wind is oriented at the front (112) of the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100), as previously described with respect to FIG. 11 and the flow arrow labeled "air flow—90°." As explained in the "Background" section, but worthy of repeating in this section, all of the aerodynamic drag forces mentioned herein, unless otherwise stated, are aerodynamic drag forces normalized to a 120 mph airstream velocity. Thus, the above mentioned normalized aerodynamic drag force of less than 1.5 lbf when exposed to a 100 mph wind is the actual measured drag force at the indicated 100 mph airstream velocity multiplied by the square of the reference velocity, which is 120 mph, then divided by the square of the actual airstream velocity, which is 100 mph.

With general reference to FIGS. 7-9, the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) includes a hollow body (110) having a face (200), a sole section (300), and a crown section (400). The hollow body (110) may be further defined as having a front (112), a back (114), a heel (116), and a toe (118). Further, the hollow body (110) has a front-to-back dimension (FB) of at least 4.4 inches, as previously defined and illustrated in FIG. 7.

The relatively large FB dimension of the present high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) aids in obtaining beneficial moment of inertia values while also obtaining superior aerodynamic properties unseen by other large volume, large FB dimension, high MOI golf club heads. Specifically, an embodiment of the high volume aerodynamic

golf club head (100) obtains a first moment of inertia (MOIy) about a vertical axis through a center of gravity (CG) of the golf club head (100), illustrated in FIG. 7, that is at least 4000 g*cm². MOIy is the moment of inertia of the golf club head (100) that resists opening and closing moments induced by ball strikes towards the toe side or heel side of the face. Further, this embodiment obtains a second moment of inertia (MOIx) about a horizontal axis through the center of gravity (CG), as seen in FIG. 9, that is at least 2000 g*cm². MOIx is the moment of inertia of the golf club head (100) that resists lofting and delofting moments induced by ball strikes high or low on the face (200).

The golf club head (100) obtains superior aerodynamic performance through the use of unique club head shapes. Referring now to FIG. 8, the crown section (400) has a crown apex (410) located an apex height (AH) above a ground plane (GP). The apex height (AH), as well as the location of the crown apex (410), play important roles in obtaining desirable airflow reattachment as close to the face (200) as possible, as well as improving the airflow attachment to the crown section (400). With reference now to FIGS. 9 and 10, the crown section (400) has three distinct radii that improve the aerodynamic performance of the present club head (100). First, as seen in FIG. 9, a portion of the crown section (400) between the crown apex (410) and the front (112) has an apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) that is less than 3 inches. The apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) is measured in a vertical plane that is perpendicular to a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA), and the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) is further measured at the point on the crown section (400) between the crown apex (410) and the front (112) that has the smallest the radius of curvature. In one particular embodiment, at least fifty percent of the vertical plane cross sections taken perpendicular to a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA), which intersect a portion of a face top edge (210), are characterized by an apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) of less than 3 inches. In still a further embodiment, at least ninety percent of the vertical plane cross sections taken perpendicular to a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA), which intersect a portion of the face top edge (210), are characterized by an apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) of less than 3 inches. In yet another embodiment, at least fifty percent of the vertical plane cross sections taken perpendicular to a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA), which intersect a portion of the face top edge (210) between the center of the face (200) and the toeward most point on the face (200), are characterized by an apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) of less than 3 inches. Still further, another embodiment has at least fifty percent of the vertical plane cross sections taken perpendicular to a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA), which intersect a portion of the face top edge (210) between the center of the face (200) and the toeward most point on the face (200), are characterized by an apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) of less than 3 inches.

The center of the face (200) shall be determined in accordance with the USGA "Procedure for Measuring the Flexibility of a Golf Clubhead," Revision 2.0, Mar. 25, 2005, which is incorporated herein by reference. This USGA procedure identifies a process for determining the impact location on the face of a golf club that is to be tested, also referred therein as the face center. The USGA procedure utilizes a template that is placed on the face of the golf club to determine the face center.

Secondly, a portion of the crown section (400) between the crown apex (410) and the back (114) of the hollow body

(110) has an apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) that is less than 3.75 inches. The apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) is also measured in a vertical plane that is perpendicular to a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA), and the apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) is further measured at the point on the crown section (400) between the crown apex (410) and the back (114) that has the smallest the radius of curvature. In one particular embodiment, at least fifty percent of the vertical plane cross sections taken perpendicular to a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA), which intersect a portion of the face top edge (210), are characterized by an apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) of less than 3.75 inches. In still a further embodiment, at least ninety percent of the vertical plane cross sections taken perpendicular to a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA), which intersect a portion of the face top edge (210), are characterized by an apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) of less than 3.75 inches. In yet another embodiment, one hundred percent of the vertical plane cross sections taken perpendicular to a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA), which intersect a portion of the face top edge (210) between the center of the face (200) and the toeward most point on the face (200), are characterized by an apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) of less than 3.75 inches.

Lastly, as seen in FIG. 10, a portion of the crown section (400) has a heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) at the crown apex (410) in a direction parallel to the vertical plane created by the shaft axis (SA) that is less than 4 inches. In a further embodiment, at least ninety percent of the crown section (400) located between the most heelward point on the face (200) and the most toeward point on the face (200) has a heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) at the crown apex (410) in a direction parallel to the vertical plane created by the shaft axis (SA) that is less than 4 inches. A further embodiment has one hundred percent of the crown section (400) located between the most heelward point on the face (200) and the most toeward point on the face (200) exhibiting a heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t), at the crown apex (410) in a direction parallel to the vertical plane created by the shaft axis (SA), that is less than 4 inches.

Such small radii of curvature exhibited in the embodiments described herein have traditionally been avoided in the design of high volume golf club heads, especially in the design of high volume golf club heads having FB dimensions of 4.4 inches and greater. However, it is these tight radii produce a bulbous crown section (400) that facilitates airflow reattachment as close to the face (200) as possible, thereby resulting in reduced aerodynamic drag forces and facilitating higher club head speeds.

Conventional high volume large MOIy golf club heads having large FB dimensions, such as those seen in U.S. Pat. No. D544,939 and U.S. Pat. No. D543,600, have relatively flat crown sections that often never extend above the face. While these designs appear as though they should cut through the air, the opposite is often true with such shapes achieving poor airflow reattachment characteristics and increased aerodynamic drag forces. The present club head (100) has recognized the significance of proper club head shaping to account for rapid airflow reattachment in the crown section (400) trailing the face (200), which is quite the opposite of the flat steeply sloped crown sections of many prior art large FB dimension club heads.

With reference now to FIG. 10, the face (200) has a top edge (210) and a lower edge (220). Further, as seen in FIGS. 8 and 9, the top edge (210) has a top edge height (TEH) that is the elevation of the top edge (210) above the ground plane

(GP). Similarly, the lower edge (220) has a lower edge height (LEH) that is the elevation of the lower edge (220) above the ground plane (GP). The highest point along the top edge (210) produces a maximum top edge height (TEH) that is at least 2 inches. Similarly, the lowest point along the lower edge (220) is a minimum lower edge height (LEH).

One of many significant advances of this embodiment of the present club head (100) is the design of an apex ratio that encourages airflow reattachment on the crown section (400) of the golf club head (100) as close to the face (200) as possible. In other words, the sooner that airflow reattachment is achieved, the better the aerodynamic performance and the smaller the aerodynamic drag force. The apex ratio is the ratio of apex height (AH) to the maximum top edge height (TEH). As previously explained, in many large FB dimension golf club heads the apex height (AH) is no more than the top edge height (TEH). In this embodiment, the apex ratio is at least 1.13, thereby encouraging airflow reattachment as soon as possible.

Still further, this embodiment of the club head (100) has a frontal cross sectional area that is less than 11 square inches. The frontal cross sectional area is the single plane area measured in a vertical plane bounded by the outline of the golf club head (100) when it is resting on the ground plane (GP) at the design lie angle and viewed from directly in front of the face (200). The frontal cross sectional area is illustrated by the cross-hatched area of FIG. 13.

In a further embodiment, a second aerodynamic drag force is introduced, namely the 30 degree offset aerodynamic drag force, as previously explained with reference to FIG. 11. In this embodiment the 30 degree offset normalized aerodynamic drag force is less than 1.3 lbf when exposed to a 100 mph wind parallel to the ground plane (GP) when the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) is positioned in a design orientation and the wind is oriented thirty degrees from a vertical plane normal to the face (200) with the wind originating from the heel (116) side of the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100). In addition to having the face-on normalized aerodynamic drag force less than 1.5 lbf, introducing a 30 degree offset normalized aerodynamic drag force of less than 1.3 lbf further reduces the drop in club head speed associated with large volume, large FB dimension golf club heads.

Yet another embodiment introduces a third aerodynamic drag force, namely the heel normalized aerodynamic drag force, as previously explained with reference to FIG. 12. In this particular embodiment, the heel normalized aerodynamic drag force is less than 1.9 lbf when exposed to a horizontal 100 mph wind directed at the heel (116) with the body (110) oriented to have a vertical shaft axis (SA). In addition to having the face-on normalized aerodynamic drag force of less than 1.5 lbf and the 30 degree offset normalized aerodynamic drag force of less than 1.3 lbf, having a heel normalized aerodynamic drag force of less than 1.9 lbf further reduces the drop in club head speed associated with large volume, large FB dimension golf club heads.

A still further embodiment has recognized that having the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) at least 25% less than the apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) produces a particularly aerodynamic golf club head (100) further assisting in airflow reattachment and preferred airflow attachment over the crown section (400). Yet another embodiment further encourages quick airflow reattachment by incorporating an apex ratio of the apex height (AH) to the maximum top edge height (TEH) that is at least 1.2. This concept is taken even further in yet another embodiment in which the apex ratio of the apex height (AH) to the maximum top edge

height (TEH) is at least 1.25. Again, these large apex ratios produce a bulbous crown section (400) that facilitates airflow reattachment as close to the face (200) as possible, thereby resulting in reduced aerodynamic drag forces and resulting in higher club head speeds.

Reducing aerodynamic drag by encouraging airflow reattachment, or conversely discouraging extended lengths of airflow separation, may be further obtained in yet another embodiment in which the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) is less than the apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r), and the apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) is less than the heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t). Such a shape is contrary to conventional high volume, long FB dimension golf club heads, yet produces a particularly aerodynamic shape.

Taking this embodiment a step further in another embodiment, a high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) having the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) less than 2.85 inches and the heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) less than 3.85 inches produces a reduced face-on aerodynamic drag force. Another embodiment focuses on the playability of the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) by having a maximum top edge height (TEH) that is at least 2 inches, thereby ensuring that the face area is not reduced to an unforgiving level. Even further, another embodiment incorporates a maximum top edge height (TEH) that is at least 2.15 inches, further instilling confidence in the golfer that they are not swinging a golf club head (100) with a small striking face (200).

The foregoing embodiments may be utilized having even larger FB dimensions. For example, the previously described aerodynamic attributes may be incorporated into an embodiment having a front-to-back dimension (FB) that is at least 4.6 inches, or even further a front-to-back dimension (FB) that is at least 4.75 inches. These embodiments allow the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) to obtain even higher MOIy values without reducing club head speed due to excessive aerodynamic drag forces.

Yet a further embodiment balances all of the radii of curvature requirements to obtain a high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) while minimizing the risk of an unnatural appearing golf club head by ensuring that less than 10% of the club head volume is above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH). A further embodiment accomplishes the goals herein with a golf club head (100) having between 5% to 10% of the club head volume located above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH). This range achieves the desired crown apex (410) and radii of curvature to ensure desirable aerodynamic drag while maintaining an aesthetically pleasing look of the golf club head (100).

The location of the crown apex (410) is dictated to a degree by the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f); however, yet a further embodiment identifies that the crown apex (410) should be behind the forwardmost point on the face (200) a distance that is a crown apex setback dimension (412), seen in FIG. 9, which is greater than 10% of the FB dimension and less than 70% of the FB dimension, thereby further reducing the period of airflow separation and resulting in desirable airflow over the crown section (400). One particular embodiment within this range incorporates a crown apex setback dimension (412) that is less than 1.75 inches. An even further embodiment balances playability with the volume shift toward the face (200) inherent in the present club head (100) by positioning the performance

mass to produce a center of gravity (CG) further away from the forwardmost point on the face (200) than the crown apex setback dimension (412).

Additionally, the heel-to-toe location of the crown apex (410) also plays a significant role in the aerodynamic drag force. The location of the crown apex (410) in the heel-to-toe direction is identified by the crown apex ht dimension (414), as seen in FIG. 8. This figure also introduces a heel-to-toe (HT) dimension which is measured in accordance with USGA rules. The location of the crown apex (410) is dictated to a degree by the heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t); however, yet a further embodiment identifies that the crown apex (410) location should result in a crown apex ht dimension (414) that is greater than 30% of the HT dimension and less than 70% of the HT dimension, thereby aiding in reducing the period of airflow separation. In an even further embodiment, the crown apex (410) is located in the heel-to-toe direction between the center of gravity (CG) and the toe (118).

The present high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) has a club head volume of at least 400 cc. Further embodiments incorporate the various features of the above described embodiments and increase the club head volume to at least 440 cc, or even further to the current USGA limit of 460 cc. However, one skilled in the art will appreciate that the specified radii and aerodynamic drag requirements are not limited to these club head sizes and apply to even larger club head volumes. Likewise, a heel-to-toe (HT) dimension of the present club head (100), as seen in FIG. 8, is greater than the FB dimension, as measured in accordance with USGA rules.

Now, turning our attention to another invention that is not limited to a "high volume" golf club head; in fact, the benefits of the present invention may be applied to drivers, fairway woods, and hybrid type golf club heads having volumes as small as 75 cc and as large as allowed by the USGA at any point in time, currently 460 cc. With reference to FIGS. 14-21, this invention is directed to an aerodynamic golf club head (100) having a trip step (500) located on the crown section (400).

As noted in the prior disclosure with reference to FIGS. 7-9, the crown section (400) has a crown apex (410) located an apex height (AH) above the ground plane (GP). As seen in FIGS. 14-19, the crown section (400) has the trip step (500) located between the crown apex (410) and the back (114). The trip step (500) is characterized by a trip step heel end (550), a trip step toe end (560), and a trip step thickness (540). Additionally, a trip step leading edge (510), located on the edge of the trip step (500) closest to the face (200), is separated from a trip step trailing edge (520), located on the edge of the trip step closest to the back (114), by a trip step width (530). The trip step leading edge (510) has a leading edge profile (512), and likewise the trip step trailing edge (520) has a trailing edge profile (522).

As previously mentioned, the trip step (500) is located between the crown apex (410) and the back (114); as such, several elements are utilized to identify the location of the trip step (500). As seen in FIGS. 14 and 15, the trip step leading edge (510) is located a trip step offset (514) behind the face top edge (210) in a direction perpendicular to a vertical plane through the shaft axis (SA). Further, as seen in FIG. 15, the trip step (500) conforms to the curvature of the crown section (400) and is located behind the crown apex (410) an apex-to-leading edge offset (516), also measured in a direction perpendicular to a vertical plane through the shaft axis (SA). Additionally, as seen in FIGS. 17 and 19, the trip step leading edge (510) at the trip step heel end (550) is

located behind the crown apex (410) an apex-to-heel LE offset (517), and likewise, the trip step leading edge (510) at the trip step toe end (560) is located behind the crown apex (410) an apex-to-toe LE offset (518). Thus, in the straight-line embodiment of FIGS. 14-15 the apex-to-heel LE offset (517) and the apex-to-toe LE offset (518) are equal to the apex-to-leading edge offset (516).

The trip step (500) enables significant reduction in the aerodynamic drag force exerted on the aerodynamic golf club head (100) of the present invention. For instance, FIG. 20 is a graph illustrating the aerodynamic drag force measured when a golf club head is exposed to a 90 mph wind in various positions. The graph illustrates the results for the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) previously described without a trip step, compared to the same club head with a trip step (500) located at various positions on the crown section (400). The "offset" referred to in the legend of FIG. 20 is the trip step offset (514) seen in FIG. 15. Thus, experiments were performed and data was gathered for each club head variation at thirteen different orientations from 0 degrees to 120 degrees, in 10 degree increments. The orientations and associated wind direction have been previously touched on and will not be revisited here.

The graph of FIG. 20 clearly illustrates that the lowest aerodynamic drag was achieved when the trip step (500) was located with a two inch trip step offset (514). In fact, the zero degree orientation was the only position in which the aerodynamic drag of the two inch trip step offset (514) was not the lowest of all six variations. The two inch trip step offset (514) is unique in that all the other trip step (500) locations actually produced increased aerodynamic drag at over 80 percent of the orientations when compared to the non-trip step club head.

Interestingly, the final entry in the graph legend of FIG. 20 is "Trip Step @ 2.0 in. Offset C&S" and line representing this variation produced the second worst aerodynamic drag force numbers. In this variation the "C&S" language refers to "crown" and "sole." Thus, the two inch trip step offset (514) that greatly reduced the aerodynamic drag force when applied to the crown section (400) actually significantly increased the aerodynamic drag force when the trip step (500) was also applied to the sole section (300) of the club head.

The present invention has uniquely identified the window of opportunity to apply a trip step (500) and obtain reduced aerodynamic drag force. The trip step (500) must be located behind the crown apex (410). Further, specific locations, shapes, and edge profiles provide preferred aerodynamic results. The present invention provides an aerodynamic golf club head (100) has a face-on aerodynamic drag force of less than 1.0 lbf when exposed to a 90 mph wind parallel to the ground plane (GP) when the aerodynamic golf club head (100) is positioned in a design orientation and the wind is oriented at the front (112) of the aerodynamic golf club head (100). In a further embodiment the aerodynamic drag force is less than 1.0 lbf throughout the orientations from 0 degrees up to 110 degrees. In yet another embodiment the aerodynamic drag force is 0.85 lbf or less throughout the orientation of 10 degrees up to 90 degrees. Still further, the two inch trip step offset (514) of FIG. 20 reduced the aerodynamic drag force on average approximately fifteen percent over the club without a trip step throughout the orientation range of 30 degrees up to 90 degrees, conversely every other trip step (500) location increased the aerodynamic drag force throughout this orientation range.

At a higher wind speed of 110 mph, seen in FIG. 21, all of the crown only trip step (500) embodiments reduced the

aerodynamic drag force compared to the non-trip step club. At the higher wind speed the reduction in aerodynamic drag force is even more significant than at the 90 mph wind speed throughout majority of the orientations. However, the large variations in the aerodynamic drag force associated with various trip step (500) locations is greatly reduced. Since most golfers swing their fairway woods and hybrid type clubs at 80-90 percent of their driver swing speed, FIG. 20 illustrates that the present invention is particularly effective at reducing aerodynamic drag force at lower wind speeds making it ideal for fairway woods and hybrid type golf clubs, as well as drivers. Thus, the present invention is not limited to high volume golf club heads.

The trip step thickness (540), seen in FIG. 15, is preferably less than ¹⁄₁₆ inch. In one particular embodiment the trip step (500) is positioned such that the greatest elevation of the trip step (500) above the ground plane (GP) is less than the apex height (AH), thus the trip step (500) is not visible from a front on face elevation view. The trip step (500) forces the air passing over the aerodynamic club head (100) from laminar flow to turbulent flow just before the separation point. This selectively engineered transition from laminar to turbulent flow over the crown section (400) slightly increases the skin friction but causes less drag than if the air were to detach from the face (200).

In yet another embodiment the lineal length of the trip step (500) is greater than seventy-five percent of the heel-to-toe dimension (HT). This length of trip step (500) causes the laminar to turbulent transition over enough of the crown section (400) to achieve the desired reduction in aerodynamic drag force. Further, in another embodiment the trip step (500) is continuous and uninterrupted. An even further embodiment with a bulbous crown section (400) incorporates a trip step (500) in which the lineal length of the trip step (500) is greater than the heel-to-toe dimension (HT). However, even in this embodiment the trip step (500) is limited to the crown section (400).

The leading edge profile (512) of the trip step (500) may be virtually any configuration. Further, the trip step leading edge (510) does not have to be parallel to the trip step trailing edge (520), thus the trip step width (530) may be variable. In one particular embodiment the leading edge profile (512) includes a sawtooth pattern to further assist in the transition from laminar to turbulent flow. The sawtooth leading edge profile (512) seen in FIGS. 14-19, creates vortices promoting separation at the desired locations. The graph of FIG. 22 illustrates that a sawtooth leading edge profile (512) significantly reduces the aerodynamic drag forces, while a similar pattern on the trailing edge profile (522) has minimal impact on the aerodynamic drag forces throughout the orientations. Close comparison of the "No Trip Step" curve and the "Trip Step w/Leading Edge Sawtooth" curve illustrate an approximately 24% reduction in aerodynamic drag force for the positions ranging from zero degrees to ninety degrees.

Further, a trip step width (530) of ¼ inch or less produces the desired air flow transition. Still further, one embodiment has found that a trip step width (530) of less than the apex-to-leading edge offset (516) produces preferred transition characteristics. The trip step width (530) does not have to be uniform across the entire length of the trip step (500).

Yet another embodiment has an apex-to-leading edge offset (516), seen best in FIG. 15, of less than fifty percent of the crown apex setback dimension (412), seen in FIG. 14, thereby further promoting the transition from laminar to turbulent flow. An even further embodiment narrows the preferred apex-to-leading edge offset (516) range to also

being at least ten percent of the crown apex setback dimension (412). Thus, in this one of many embodiments, the preferred location for the trip step (500) has an apex-to-leading edge offset (516) that is ten to fifty percent of the crown apex setback dimension (412).

While the trip step (500) of FIG. 14 is a single straight trip step (500) with the trip step leading edge (510) parallel to a vertical plane through the shaft axis (SA); the trip step (500) may include several distinct sections. For example, the trip step (500) of FIG. 17 is a multi-sectional trip step (570) having at least a heel oriented trip step section (575) and a toe oriented trip step section (580). In this embodiment the forward most point of the trip step (500) is located behind the crown apex (410) and each section (575, 580) angles back from this forward most point. The heel oriented trip step section (575) diverges from a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis at a heel section angle (576), and likewise the toe oriented trip step section (580) diverges from a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis at a toe section angle (581). The measurement of these angles (576, 581) can be thought of as the projection of the trip step (500) directly vertically downward onto the ground plane (GP) with the angle then measured along the ground plane (GP) from the vertical plane passing through the shaft axis. One particular embodiment reduces aerodynamic drag force with a design in which the heel oriented trip step section (575) forms a heel section angle (576) of at least five degrees, and the toe oriented trip step section (580) forms a toe section angle (581) of at least five degrees.

The introduction of the multi-sectional trip step (570) affords numerous embodiments of the present invention. One particular embodiment simply incorporates a design in which aerodynamic drag force is reduced by incorporating a trip step (500) that has an apex-to-heel LE offset (517) that is greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (516), and an apex-to-toe LE offset (518) that is greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (516), which is true of the embodiment seen in FIG. 17. In yet another embodiment the relationships just described are taken even further. In fact, in this embodiment the apex-to-heel LE offset (517) is at least fifty percent greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (516), and the apex-to-toe LE offset (518) is at least fifty percent greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (516)

Another embodiment of the multi-sectional trip step (570) variation incorporates a face oriented trip step section (585) that is parallel to the vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA). Thus, this embodiment incorporates a section (585) that is essentially parallel to the face (200), and a section that is not. Such embodiments capitalize on the fact that during a golf swing air does not merely pass over the crown section (400) from the face (200) to the back (114) in a straight manner. In fact, a large portion of the swing is occupied with the golf club head (100) slicing through the air being led by the hosel (120), or the heel (116) side of the club. That said, reducing the face-on aerodynamic drag force, also referred to as the "Air Flow—90°" orientation of FIG. 11, plays a significant role in reducing the aerodynamic drag forces that prevent a golfer from obtaining a higher swing speed. One particular embodiment takes advantage of this discovery by ensuring that the lineal length of the face oriented trip step section (585) is greater than fifty percent of the heel-to-toe dimension (HT).

Yet another embodiment, seen in FIG. 16, incorporates a heel oriented trip step section (575), a toe oriented trip step section (580), and a face oriented trip step section (585). This embodiment has a heel trip step transition point (577) delineating the heel oriented trip step section (575) from the

face oriented trip step section (**585**). Likewise, a toe trip step transition point (**582**) delineates the toe oriented trip step section (**580**) from the face oriented trip step section (**585**). The location of these transition points (**577**, **582**) are identified via a heel transition point offset (**578**) and a toe transition point offset (**583**), both seen in FIG. **16**. These are distances measured from the crown apex (**410**) to the locations of the transition points (**577**, **582**) in a direction parallel to a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA). In this particular embodiment it is preferred to have the heel transition point offset (**578**) greater than the apex-to-heel leading edge offset (**517**) seen in FIG. **17**. Similarly, in this embodiment it is preferred to have the toe transition point offset (**583**) greater than the toe-to-heel leading edge offset (**518**) seen in FIG. **18**. This unique relationship recognizes the importance of reducing the face-on aerodynamic drag force, also referred to as the "Air Flow—90°'" orientation of FIG. **11**, while not ignoring the desire to reduce the aerodynamic drag force in other orientations.

Another embodiment directed to the achieving a preferential balance of reducing the aerodynamic drag force in multiple orientations incorporates a curved trip step (**500**), as seen in FIGS. **18** and **19**. The curve of the curved trip step (**500**) is defined by a vertical projection of the curved trip step (**500**) onto the ground plane (GP). Then, this translated projection of the outline of the curved trip step (**500**) may be identified as having at least one trip step radius of curvature (Rts). Preferred reduction in the aerodynamic drag force is found when the center of the trip step radius of curvature (Rts) is behind the crown apex (**410**) and the trip step radius of curvature (Rts) is greater than the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f), seen in FIG. **9**. Further, yet another embodiment incorporates a trip step radius of curvature (Rts) that is less than the bulge of the face (**200**). An even further embodiment incorporates a trip step radius of curvature (Rts) that is less than the roll of the face (**200**). One particular embodiment used to produce the data seen in FIG. **22** offered a tremendously beneficial reduction in aerodynamic drag force by incorporating a trip step radius of curvature (Rts) that is greater than the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f), seen in FIG. **9**, while having a trip step radius of curvature (Rts) that is less than both the bulge and the roll of the face (**200**). This newly developed range tends to result in a trip step (**500**) curvature that mimics the natural curvature of the air flow separation on crown of a golf club head.

Yet another embodiment places the trip step (**500**) at, or slightly in front of, the natural location of air flow separation on the club head without the trip step (**500**). Thus, wind tunnel testing can be performed to visually illustrate the natural air flow separation pattern on the crown of a particular golf club head design. Then, a curved trip step (**500**) may be applied to a portion of the crown section (**400**) at the natural air flow separation curve, or slightly forward of the natural air flow separation curve in a direction toward the face (**200**). Thus, in this embodiment, seen in FIG. **19**, a curved trip step (**500**) extends over a portion of the crown section (**400**) from a location behind the crown apex (**410**) and extending toward the toe (**118**). In this embodiment the curved trip step (**500**) curves from a forward most point behind the crown apex (**410**) to a most rearward point at the trip step toe end (**560**). In one particular embodiment preferred aerodynamic performance was achieved when the apex-to-toe LE offset (**518**) is greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (**516**). Even further reduction in aero-

dynamic drag force is achieved when the apex-to-toe LE offset (**518**) is at least fifty percent greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (**516**).

The curved trip step (**500**) does not need to be one continuous smooth curve. In fact, the curved trip step (**500**) may be a compound curve. Further, as previously mentioned, the curved trip step (**500**) is not required to extend toward the heel (**116**) of the golf club because the disruption in the air flow pattern caused by the hosel results in turbulent air flow near the heel (**116**), and thus it is unlikely a reduction in aerodynamic drag force is achieved by extending the curved trip step (**500**) all the way to the heel (**116**). However, the aesthetically pleasing embodiment of FIG. **19** incorporates a relatively symmetric curved trip step (**500**) so that it is not distracting to the golfer. Thus, in this one embodiment the apex-to-heel LE offset (**517**) is greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (**516**), and the apex-to-toe LE offset (**518**) is greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (**516**).

All of the previously described aerodynamic characteristics with respect to the crown section (**400**) apply equally to the sole section (**300**) of the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**). In other words, one skilled in the art will appreciate that just like the crown section (**400**) has a crown apex (**410**), the sole section (**300**) may have a sole apex. Likewise, the three radii of the crown section (**400**) may just as easily be three radii of the sole section (**300**). Thus, all of the embodiments described herein with respect to the crown section (**400**) are incorporated by reference with respect to the sole section (**300**).

The various parts of the golf club head (**100**) may be made from any suitable or desired materials without departing from the claimed club head (**100**), including conventional metallic and nonmetallic materials known and used in the art, such as steel (including stainless steel), titanium alloys, magnesium alloys, aluminum alloys, carbon fiber composite materials, glass fiber composite materials, carbon pre-preg materials, polymeric materials, and the like. The various sections of the club head (**100**) may be produced in any suitable or desired manner without departing from the claimed club head (**100**), including in conventional manners known and used in the art, such as by casting, forging, molding (e.g., injection or blow molding), etc. The various sections may be held together as a unitary structure in any suitable or desired manner, including in conventional manners known and used in the art, such as using mechanical connectors, adhesives, cements, welding, brazing, soldering, bonding, and other known material joining techniques. Additionally, the various sections of the golf club head (**100**) may be constructed from one or more individual pieces, optionally pieces made from different materials having different densities, without departing from the claimed club head (**100**).

Numerous alterations, modifications, and variations of the preferred embodiments disclosed herein will be apparent to those skilled in the art and they are all anticipated and contemplated to be within the spirit and scope of the instant club head. For example, although specific embodiments have been described in detail, those with skill in the art will understand that the preceding embodiments and variations can be modified to incorporate various types of substitute and or additional or alternative materials, relative arrangement of elements, and dimensional configurations. Accordingly, even though only few variations of the present club head are described herein, it is to be understood that the practice of such additional modifications and variations and the equivalents thereof, are within the spirit and scope of the

club head as defined in the following claims. The corresponding structures, materials, acts, and equivalents of all means or step plus function elements in the claims below are intended to include any structure, material, or acts for performing the functions in combination with other claimed elements as specifically claimed.

We claim:

1. A high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) comprising:

A) a body (110) having a club head volume of at least 400 cc, a face (200), a sole section (300), a crown section (400), a front (112), a back (114), a heel (116), a toe (118), and a center of gravity (CG), wherein the body (110) has a front-to-back dimension (FB) of at least 4.6 inches and a heel-to-toe dimension (HT) greater than the front-to-back dimension (FB);

B) the face (200) having a top edge (210) and a lower edge (220), wherein a top edge height (TEH) is the elevation of the top edge (210) above a ground plane (GP), and a lower edge height (LEH) is the elevation of the lower edge (220) above the ground plane (GP), wherein a maximum top edge height (TEH) is at least 2 inches; and

C) the crown section (400) having a crown apex (410) located an apex height (AH) above a ground plane (GP), wherein:

(i) within a front-to-back vertical section through the crown apex (410) and perpendicular to a vertical plane created by a shaft axis (SA), a portion of the crown section (400) between the crown apex (410) and the face (200) has an apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f);

(ii) within a heel-to-toe vertical section parallel to the vertical plane created by the shaft axis (SA), a portion of the crown section (400), above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH), has a heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t);

(iii) within the front-to-back vertical section a portion of the crown section (400)), above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH), between the crown apex (410) and the back (114) has an apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r);

(iv) wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) at the crown apex (410) is at least 25% less than the greatest apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) of the portion of the crown section (400) within the front-to-back vertical section and located above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH);

(v) the crown apex (410) is located a crown apex HT dimension (414) that is greater than 30% of the heel-to-toe dimension (HT) and less than 70% of the heel-to-toe dimension (HT);

(vi) the crown apex (410) is behind the forwardmost point on the face (200) a crown apex setback dimension (412), and the crown apex setback dimension (412) is less than a CG depth distance that is parallel to the crown apex setback dimension (412) and measured from a vertical projection of the center of gravity (CG) on the ground plane (GP) to a vertical projection of the forwardmost point on the face (200) on the ground plane (GP); and

(vii) the crown apex (410) is located between the toe (118) and a vertical front-to-back plane through the center of gravity (CG);

D) the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) has:

(i) a first moment of inertia (MOIy) about a vertical axis through a center of gravity (CG) of the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) that is at least 4000 g*cm^2; and

(ii) a second moment of inertia (MOIx) about a horizontal axis through the center of gravity (CG) that is at least 2000 g*cm^2.

2. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 1, wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) of a portion of the crown section (400) between the crown apex (410) and the face (200) is less than 3 inches, and the crown apex setback dimension (412) is less than 1.75 inches.

3. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 2, wherein the heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) of a portion of the crown section (400) is less than 4 inches.

4. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 2, wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) in contact with the crown apex (410) is less than a heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) in contact with the crown apex (410).

5. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 2, wherein the maximum top edge height (TEH) is at least 2.15 inches.

6. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 2, wherein an apex ratio of the apex height (AH) to the greatest top edge height (TEH) is at least 1.13, and the club head volume is at least 440 cc.

7. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 2, wherein less than 10% of the club head volume is above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH).

8. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 7, wherein at least 5% of the club head volume is located above the greatest top edge height (TEH).

9. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 2, wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) at the crown apex (410) is less than 3 inches.

10. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 6, wherein the apex ratio is at least 1.2.

11. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 2, wherein the heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) in contact with the crown apex (410) is less than 4 inches.

12. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 11, wherein within the heel-to-toe vertical section, the heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) is less than 4 inches for all of the crown section (400) from the crown apex (410) to a most heelward point on the face (200).

13. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 1, wherein the front-to-back dimension (FB) is at least 4.75 inches.

14. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 1, wherein the body (100) is formed of a plurality of individual pieces, and at least one of the individual pieces is formed of polymeric material.

15. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 14, wherein the least one of the individual pieces is formed of injection molded polymeric material.

16. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 1, wherein the body (100) is formed of a plurality of individual pieces, and at least one of the individual pieces is formed of titanium alloy and at least one of the individual pieces is formed of aluminum alloy.

17. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) of claim 1, wherein the crown section (400) has a trip step (500) with a trip step leading edge (510) having a leading edge profile (512), a trip step trailing edge (520) having a trailing edge profile (522), a trip step width (530), and a trip step thickness (540), wherein the trip step leading edge

profile (**512**) is different than the trailing edge profile (**522**), and the leading edge profile (**512**) includes two sides that converge toward one another.

18. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **1**, wherein the crown section (**400**) has a trip step (**500**) with a trip step leading edge (**510**) having a leading edge profile (**512**), a trip step trailing edge (**520**) having a trailing edge profile (**522**), a trip step width (**530**), and a trip step thickness (**540**), wherein the trip step leading edge (**510**) is not parallel to the trip step trailing edge (**520**) and the trip step width (**530**) is not constant.

19. A high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) comprising:

A) a body (**110**) having a club head volume of at least 400 cc, a face (**200**), a sole section (**300**), a crown section (**400**), a front (**112**), a back (**114**), a heel (**116**), a toe (**118**), and a center of gravity (CG), wherein the body (**110**) has a front-to-back dimension (FB) of at least 4.6 inches and a heel-to-toe dimension (HT) greater than the front-to-back dimension (FB);

B) the face (**200**) having a top edge (**210**) and a lower edge (**220**), wherein a top edge height (TEH) is the elevation of the top edge (**210**) above a ground plane (GP), and a lower edge height (LEH) is the elevation of the lower edge (**220**) above the ground plane (GP), wherein a maximum top edge height (TEH) is at least 2 inches; and

C) the crown section (**400**) having a crown apex (**410**) located an apex height (AH) above a ground plane (GP), wherein:

(i) within a front-to-back vertical section through the crown apex (**410**) and perpendicular to a vertical plane created by a shaft axis (SA), a portion of the crown section (**400**) between the crown apex (**410**) and the face (**200**) has an apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f);

(ii) within a heel-to-toe vertical section parallel to the vertical plane created by the shaft axis (SA), a portion of the crown section (**400**), above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH), has a heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t), and the heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) in contact with the crown apex (**410**) is less than 4 inches;

(iii) within the front-to-back vertical section a portion of the crown section (**400**)), above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH), between the crown apex (**410**) and the back (**114**) has an apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r);

(iv) wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) at the crown apex (**410**) is less than the greatest apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) of the portion of the crown section (**400**) within the front-to-back vertical section and located above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH);

(v) the crown apex (**410**) is located a crown apex HT dimension (**414**) that is greater than 30% of the heel-to-toe dimension (HT) and less than 70% of the heel-to-toe dimension (HT);

(vi) the crown apex (**410**) is behind the forwardmost point on the face (**200**) a crown apex setback dimension (**412**), and the crown apex setback dimension (**412**) is less than a CG depth distance that is parallel to the crown apex setback dimension (**412**) and measured from a vertical projection of the center of gravity (CG) on the ground plane (GP) to a vertical projection of the forwardmost point on the face (**200**) on the ground plane (GP); and

(vii) the crown apex (**410**) is located between the toe (**118**) and a vertical front-to-back plane through the center of gravity (CG);

D) the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) has:

(i) a first moment of inertia (MOIy) about a vertical axis through a center of gravity (CG) of the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) that is at least 4000 g*cm^2; and

(ii) a second moment of inertia (MOIx) about a horizontal axis through the center of gravity (CG) that is at least 2000 g*cm^2.

20. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **19**, wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) of a portion of the crown section (**400**) between the crown apex (**410**) and the face (**200**) is less than 3 inches, and the crown apex setback dimension (**412**) is less than 1.75 inches.

21. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **20**, wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) of a portion of the crown section (**400**) between the crown apex (**410**) and the face (**200**) is at least 25% less than the greatest apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r).

22. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **21**, wherein the heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) of a portion of the crown section (**400**) located above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH) is less than 4 inches.

23. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **21**, wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) in contact with the crown apex (**410**) is less than a heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) in contact with the crown apex (**410**).

24. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **21**, wherein an apex ratio of the apex height (AH) to the greatest top edge height (TEH) is at least 1.13.

25. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **21**, wherein less than 10% of the club head volume is above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH).

26. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **25**, wherein at least 5% of the club head volume is located above the greatest top edge height (TEH).

27. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **21**, wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) at the crown apex (**410**) is at least 25% less than the greatest apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r).

28. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **21**, wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) at the crown apex (**410**) is less than 3 inches.

29. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **24**, wherein the apex ratio is at least 1.2.

30. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **19**, wherein within the heel-to-toe vertical section, the heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) is less than 4 inches for all of the crown section (**400**) from the crown apex (**410**) to a most heelward point on the face (**200**).

31. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **19**, wherein the front-to-back dimension (FB) is at least 4.75 inches.

32. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **19**, wherein the body (**100**) is formed of a plurality of individual pieces, and at least one of the individual pieces is formed of polymeric material.

33. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **32**, wherein the least one of the individual pieces is formed of injection molded polymeric material.

34. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **19**, wherein the body (**100**) is formed of a plurality of individual pieces, and at least one of the individual pieces is formed of titanium alloy and at least one of the individual pieces is formed of aluminum alloy.

35. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **19**, wherein the crown section (**400**) has a trip step (**500**) with a trip step leading edge (**510**) having a leading edge profile (**512**), a trip step trailing edge (**520**) having a trailing edge profile (**522**), a trip step width (**530**), and a trip step thickness (**540**), wherein the trip step leading edge profile (**512**) is different than the trailing edge profile (**522**).

36. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **19**, wherein the crown section (**400**) has a trip step (**500**) with a trip step leading edge (**510**) having a leading edge profile (**512**), a trip step trailing edge (**520**) having a trailing edge profile (**522**), a trip step width (**530**), and a trip step thickness (**540**), wherein the trip step leading edge (**510**) is not parallel to the trip step trailing edge (**520**) and the trip step width (**530**) is not constant.

37. A high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) comprising:

A) a body (**110**) having a club head volume of at least 400 cc, a face (**200**), a sole section (**300**), a crown section (**400**), a front (**112**), a back (**114**), a heel (**116**), a toe (**118**), and a center of gravity (CG), wherein the body (**110**) has a front-to-back dimension (FB) of at least 4.6 inches and a heel-to-toe dimension (HT) greater than the front-to-back dimension (FB);

B) the face (**200**) having a top edge (**210**) and a lower edge (**220**), wherein a top edge height (TEH) is the elevation of the top edge (**210**) above a ground plane (GP), and a lower edge height (LEH) is the elevation of the lower edge (**220**) above the ground plane (GP), wherein a maximum top edge height (TEH) is at least 2 inches; and

C) the crown section (**400**) having a crown apex (**410**) located an apex height (AH) above a ground plane (GP), wherein:

(i) within a front-to-back vertical section through the crown apex (**410**) and perpendicular to a vertical plane created by a shaft axis (SA), a portion of the crown section (**400**) between the crown apex (**410**) and the face (**200**) has an apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f);

(ii) within a heel-to-toe vertical section parallel to the vertical plane created by the shaft axis (SA), a portion of the crown section (**400**), above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH), has a heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t);

(iii) within the front-to-back vertical section a portion of the crown section (**400**)), above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH), between the crown apex (**410**) and the back (**114**) has an apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r), and the apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) of a portion of the crown section (**400**) above the top edge height (TEH) is less than 3.75 inches;

(iv) wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) at the crown apex (**410**) is less than the greatest apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) of the portion of the crown section (**400**) within the front-to-back vertical section and located above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH);

(v) the crown apex (**410**) is located a crown apex HT dimension (**414**) that is greater than 30% of the

heel-to-toe dimension (HT) and less than 70% of the heel-to-toe dimension (HT);

(vi) the crown apex (**410**) is behind the forwardmost point on the face (**200**) a crown apex setback dimension (**412**), and the crown apex setback dimension (**412**) is less than a CG depth distance that is parallel to the crown apex setback dimension (**412**) and measured from a vertical projection of the center of gravity (CG) on the ground plane (GP) to a vertical projection of the forwardmost point on the face (**200**) on the ground plane (GP); and

(vii) the crown apex (**410**) is located between the toe (**118**) and a vertical front-to-back plane through the center of gravity (CG);

D) the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) has:

(i) a first moment of inertia (MOIy) about a vertical axis through a center of gravity (CG) of the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) that is at least 4000 g*cm²; and

(ii) a second moment of inertia (MOIx) about a horizontal axis through the center of gravity (CG) that is at least 2000 g*cm².

38. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **37**, wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) of a portion of the crown section (**400**) between the crown apex (**410**) and the face (**200**) is less than 3 inches, and the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) of a portion of the crown section (**400**) between the crown apex (**410**) and the face (**200**) is at least 25% less than the greatest apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r).

39. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **38**, wherein the heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) of a portion of the crown section (**400**) located above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH) is less than 4 inches.

40. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **38**, wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) in contact with the crown apex (**410**) is less than a heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) in contact with the crown apex (**410**).

41. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **38**, wherein an apex ratio of the apex height (AH) to the greatest top edge height (TEH) is at least 1.13.

42. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **41**, wherein less than 10% of the club head volume is above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH).

43. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **42**, wherein at least 5% of the club head volume is located above the greatest top edge height (TEH).

44. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **38**, wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) at the crown apex (**410**) is at least 25% less than the greatest apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r).

45. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **44**, wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) at the crown apex (**410**) is less than 3 inches.

46. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **41**, wherein the apex ratio is at least 1.2.

47. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **37**, wherein the front-to-back dimension (FB) is at least 4.75 inches.

48. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **37**, wherein the body (**100**) is formed of a plurality of individual pieces, and at least one of the individual pieces is formed of polymeric material.

49. The high volume aerodynamic golf club head (**100**) of claim **37**, wherein the body (**100**) is formed of a plurality of individual pieces, and at least one of the individual pieces is formed of titanium alloy and at least one of the individual pieces is formed of aluminum alloy.

* * * * *