



US012059603B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Burnett et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 12,059,603 B2**

(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Aug. 13, 2024**

(54) **GOLF CLUB HEAD HAVING CROWN PROJECTIONS**

(71) Applicant: **Taylor Made Golf Company, Inc.**,
Carlsbad, CA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Michael Scott Burnett**, McKinney, TX (US); **Marc Schmidt**, Dallas, TX (US)

(73) Assignee: **Taylor Made Golf Company, Inc.**,
Carlsbad, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **17/682,471**

(22) Filed: **Feb. 28, 2022**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2022/0176212 A1 Jun. 9, 2022

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Continuation of application No. 17/068,355, filed on Oct. 12, 2020, now abandoned, which is a continuation of application No. 15/715,681, filed on Sep. 26, 2017, now Pat. No. 10,799,773, which is a continuation of application No. 14/330,205, filed on Jul. 14, 2014, now Pat. No. 9,776,053, which is a division of application No. 13/584,479, filed on Aug. 13, 2012, now Pat. No. 8,777,773, which is a division of application No. 12/361,290, filed on Jan. 28, 2009, now abandoned.

(60) Provisional application No. 61/101,919, filed on Oct. 1, 2008, provisional application No. 61/080,892, filed on Jul. 15, 2008.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A63B 53/04 (2015.01)
A63B 60/00 (2015.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC *A63B 53/0466* (2013.01); *A63B 53/0408* (2020.08); *A63B 53/0412* (2020.08); *A63B 53/0437* (2020.08); *A63B 60/006* (2020.08); *A63B 2225/01* (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC *A63B 53/0466*
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2,083,189 A 6/1937 Crooker
3,085,804 A 4/1963 Pieper
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 0446935 9/1991
FR 2782650 3/2000
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS


International Searching Authority (USPTO), International Search Report and Written Opinion for International Application No. PCT/US 09/49742, mailed Aug. 27, 2009, 11 pages.
(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Eugene L Kim
Assistant Examiner — Matthew B Stanczak
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Dawsey Co., LPA;
David J. Dawsey

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A golf club incorporating a plurality of projections extending from on the crown section.

14 Claims, 29 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,166,320	A	1/1965	Onions		6,402,639	B1	6/2002	Iwata et al.	
3,266,805	A	8/1966	Bulla		6,458,042	B1	10/2002	Chen	
3,468,544	A	9/1969	Antonious		6,464,598	B1	10/2002	Miller	
3,893,672	A	7/1975	Schonher		6,530,847	B1	3/2003	Antonious	
3,985,363	A *	10/1976	Jepson	A63B 53/0466	D482,420	S	11/2003	Burrows	
				473/309	6,723,002	B1	4/2004	Barlow	
3,997,170	A	12/1976	Goldberg		6,773,359	B1	8/2004	Lee	
4,065,133	A	12/1977	Gordos		6,776,723	B2	8/2004	Bliss et al.	
4,077,633	A	3/1978	Studen		6,776,725	B1 *	8/2004	Miura	A63B 53/0466
4,139,196	A	2/1979	Riley						473/345
4,147,349	A	4/1979	Jeghers		D501,903	S	2/2005	Tanaka	
4,165,076	A	8/1979	Cella		6,855,068	B2	2/2005	Antonious	
4,193,601	A	3/1980	Reid, Jr. et al.		6,939,247	B1	9/2005	Schweigert et al.	
D256,709	S	9/1980	Reid, Jr. et al.		D515,643	S	2/2006	Oritz	
4,247,105	A	1/1981	Jeghers		6,994,636	B2	2/2006	Hocknell et al.	
D265,112	S	6/1982	Lyons		7,004,849	B2	2/2006	Cameron	
4,431,192	A	2/1984	Stuff, Jr.		7,025,695	B2	4/2006	Mitsuba	
4,432,549	A	2/1984	Zebelean		D522,601	S	6/2006	Schweigert	
4,471,961	A	9/1984	Masghati et al.		7,163,470	B2	1/2007	Galloway et al.	
4,527,799	A	7/1985	Solheim		7,166,038	B2	1/2007	Williams et al.	
4,592,552	A	6/1986	Garber		7,169,058	B1	1/2007	Fagan	
4,754,974	A *	7/1988	Kobayashi	A63B 60/00	D537,495	S	2/2007	Schweigert	
				473/327	D543,600	S	5/2007	Oldknow	
4,787,636	A	11/1988	Honma		D544,939	S	6/2007	Radcliffe et al.	
4,881,739	A	11/1989	Garcia		D549,792	S	8/2007	Parise	
4,895,367	A	1/1990	Kajita et al.		D552,198	S	10/2007	Schweigert	
4,919,428	A	4/1990	Perkins		D554,720	S	11/2007	Barez et al.	
5,000,454	A	3/1991	Soda		7,294,064	B2	11/2007	Tsurumaki et al.	
5,054,784	A	10/1991	Collins		D564,611	S	3/2008	Llewellyn	
5,092,599	A	3/1992	Okumoto et al.		7,390,266	B2	6/2008	Gwon	
5,116,054	A	5/1992	Johnson		D589,103	S	3/2009	Kohno	
5,190,289	A	3/1993	Nagai et al.		7,674,187	B2	3/2010	Cackett et al.	
5,193,810	A	3/1993	Antonious		7,674,189	B2	3/2010	Beach et al.	
5,221,086	A	6/1993	Antonious		7,731,603	B2	6/2010	Beach et al.	
5,255,919	A	10/1993	Johnson		D622,338	S	8/2010	Kohno	
5,301,944	A	4/1994	Kochler		D622,795	S	8/2010	Furutate	
5,318,297	A	6/1994	Davis et al.		7,766,765	B2	8/2010	Oyama	
D349,543	S	8/1994	MacDougall		7,771,291	B1	8/2010	Willett et al.	
5,340,106	A	8/1994	Ravaris		7,896,753	B2 *	3/2011	Boyd	A63B 53/0466
5,435,558	A	7/1995	Iriarte						473/226
D366,682	S	1/1996	Antonious		7,927,229	B2	4/2011	Jertson et al.	
5,482,280	A	1/1996	Yamawaki		7,931,546	B2	4/2011	Bennett et al.	
5,511,786	A	4/1996	Antonious		8,012,038	B1	9/2011	Beach et al.	
D371,407	S	7/1996	Ritchie et al.		8,012,039	B2	9/2011	Greaney et al.	
5,558,332	A	9/1996	Cook		8,083,609	B2 *	12/2011	Burnett	A63B 53/0466
D375,130	S	10/1996	Hlinka et al.						473/345
D378,770	S	4/1997	Hlinka et al.		8,088,021	B2 *	1/2012	Albertsen	A63B 53/0466
5,632,695	A	5/1997	Hlinka et al.						473/346
5,695,412	A	12/1997	Cook		8,133,135	B2	3/2012	Stites et al.	
5,700,208	A *	12/1997	Nelms	A63B 53/0466	8,187,115	B2	5/2012	Bennett et al.	
				473/324	8,235,844	B2	8/2012	Albertsen et al.	
5,759,114	A	6/1998	Bluto et al.		D686,679	S	7/2013	Greensmith et al.	
5,785,608	A	7/1998	Collins		8,496,544	B2	7/2013	Curtis et al.	
5,797,807	A	8/1998	Moore		8,523,705	B2	9/2013	Breier et al.	
D397,750	S	9/1998	Frazetta		8,529,368	B2	9/2013	Rice et al.	
RE35,931	E	10/1998	Schroder et al.		D692,077	S	10/2013	Greensmith et al.	
D401,650	S	11/1998	Burrows		D696,366	S	12/2013	Milo et al.	
5,851,160	A	12/1998	Rugge et al.		D696,367	S	12/2013	Taylor et al.	
5,876,293	A	3/1999	Musty		D697,152	S	1/2014	Harbert et al.	
5,885,166	A	3/1999	Shiraishi		8,663,029	B2	3/2014	Beach et al.	
5,890,971	A	4/1999	Shiraishi		8,678,946	B2 *	3/2014	Boyd	A63B 53/0466
5,921,872	A	7/1999	Kobayashi						473/328
5,935,020	A	8/1999	Stites et al.		8,777,773	B2	7/2014	Burnett et al.	
5,954,595	A	9/1999	Antonious		8,821,312	B2 *	9/2014	Burnett	A63B 53/0475
6,001,029	A *	12/1999	Kobayashi	A63B 53/0466					473/345
				473/331	8,827,831	B2 *	9/2014	Burnett	A63B 60/52
6,033,319	A	3/2000	Farrar						473/332
6,074,308	A	6/2000	Domas		9,044,653	B2	6/2015	Wahl et al.	
6,083,115	A	7/2000	King		9,421,434	B2 *	8/2016	Takagi	A63B 53/0466
6,093,113	A	7/2000	Mertens		9,776,053	B2	10/2017	Burnett et al.	
6,123,627	A	9/2000	Antonious		9,839,819	B2	12/2017	Mizutani et al.	
6,139,445	A	10/2000	Werner et al.		9,925,432	B2	3/2018	Morales et al.	
6,168,537	B1	1/2001	Ezawa		10,232,231	B2	3/2019	Jertson et al.	
6,332,848	B1	12/2001	Long et al.		10,232,232	B2 *	3/2019	Henrikson	A63B 53/04
6,344,002	B1	2/2002	Kajita		10,245,481	B1 *	4/2019	Cleghorn	A63B 60/52
					10,286,265	B2	5/2019	Tsunashima et al.	
					10,343,034	B2	7/2019	Henrikson et al.	
					10,413,788	B2 *	9/2019	Henrikson	A63B 60/52
					10,427,012	B2	10/2019	Cole et al.	

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

10,449,424 B2 10/2019 Cole et al.
 10,518,140 B2 12/2019 Saso
 10,596,427 B2 3/2020 Jertson et al.
 10,695,625 B2* 6/2020 Henrikson A63B 53/0466
 10,799,773 B2* 10/2020 Burnett A63B 53/0466
 10,828,543 B2 11/2020 Morales et al.
 10,888,747 B2* 1/2021 Albertsen A63B 53/0466
 10,967,232 B2 4/2021 Woodward et al.
 2002/0077195 A1 6/2002 Carr et al.
 2002/0183130 A1 12/2002 Pacinella
 2002/0183134 A1 12/2002 Allen et al.
 2003/0114239 A1 6/2003 Mase
 2003/0220154 A1* 11/2003 Anelli A63B 53/0466
 473/327
 2004/0097299 A1 5/2004 Soracco
 2004/0157678 A1 8/2004 Kohno
 2004/0162156 A1 8/2004 Kohno
 2004/0192463 A1 9/2004 Tsurumaki et al.
 2005/0009622 A1 1/2005 Antonious
 2005/0059508 A1 3/2005 Burnett et al.
 2006/0009305 A1 1/2006 Lindsay
 2006/0094535 A1 5/2006 Cameron
 2006/0116218 A1 6/2006 Burnett et al.
 2006/0258481 A1 11/2006 Oyama
 2006/0281581 A1 12/2006 Yamamoto
 2007/0105657 A1 5/2007 Hirano
 2007/0275792 A1 11/2007 Horacek et al.
 2008/0039234 A1 2/2008 Williams et al.
 2008/0132356 A1 6/2008 Chao et al.
 2008/0146374 A1 6/2008 Beach et al.
 2008/0188320 A1 8/2008 Kamatari
 2009/0124411 A1 5/2009 Rae et al.
 2009/0137338 A1 5/2009 Kajita
 2009/0149275 A1 6/2009 Rae et al.
 2009/0170632 A1 7/2009 Beach et al.
 2009/0178266 A1* 7/2009 Chen A63B 53/0466
 29/466
 2009/0191980 A1 7/2009 Greaney et al.
 2010/0016095 A1* 1/2010 Burnett A63B 53/0466
 473/345
 2011/0014992 A1 1/2011 Morrissey
 2012/0071267 A1 3/2012 Burnett et al.
 2012/0071268 A1 3/2012 Albertsen et al.
 2012/0172146 A1 7/2012 Greaney et al.
 2012/0316007 A1 12/2012 Burnett et al.
 2013/0109494 A1* 5/2013 Henrikson A63B 60/52
 473/328
 2013/0123040 A1 5/2013 Willett et al.
 2014/0087893 A1* 3/2014 Henrikson A63B 60/52
 29/428
 2014/0256461 A1 9/2014 Beach et al.
 2016/0213985 A1* 7/2016 Jertson A63B 53/0466
 2017/0312591 A1* 11/2017 Saso A63B 53/0466
 2017/0319917 A1* 11/2017 Henrikson A63B 53/0466
 2018/0111025 A1* 4/2018 Vrska, Jr. A63B 53/0466
 2018/0353818 A1* 12/2018 Henrikson A63B 60/52
 2019/0275385 A1* 9/2019 Saso A63B 53/0433
 2019/0275386 A1* 9/2019 Henrikson A63B 53/04
 2020/0122001 A1* 4/2020 Henrikson A63B 53/0466
 2021/0023425 A1* 1/2021 Burnett A63B 53/0466
 2023/0119368 A1* 4/2023 Greaney A63B 53/0466
 473/324

2023/0173349 A1* 6/2023 Ogg A63B 53/0408
 473/345
 2023/0173356 A1* 6/2023 Ogg A63B 53/0408
 473/327
 2023/0173357 A1* 6/2023 Ogg A63B 53/0412
 473/330

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

JP H06190088 7/1994
 JP H10263118 10/1998
 JP H11114102 4/1999
 JP H11155982 6/1999
 JP 2002-052099 2/2002
 JP 2002136625 5/2002
 JP 2003135632 5/2003
 JP 2003210621 7/2003
 JP 2003524487 8/2003
 JP 2003320061 11/2003
 JP 2004174224 6/2004
 JP 2004232397 8/2004
 JP 2004261451 9/2004
 JP 2004265992 9/2004
 JP 2004271516 9/2004
 JP 2004313762 11/2004
 JP 2004351054 12/2004
 JP 2004351173 12/2004
 JP 2005073736 3/2005
 JP 2005111172 4/2005
 JP 2005137494 6/2005
 JP 2005137788 6/2005
 WO 2005009543 2/2005

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2004; Article entitled: "The Hot List", cover page from magazine and article on pp. 82-88.
 Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2005; Article entitled: "The Hot List", cover page from magazine and article on pp. 119-130. (Part 1).
 Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2005; Article entitled: "The Hot List", article on pp. 131-143. (Part 2).
 Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2006; Article entitled: "The Hot List", cover page from magazine and article on pp. 122-132. (Part 1).
 Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2006; Article entitled: "The Hot List", article on pp. 133-143. (Part 2).
 Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2007; Article entitled: "The Hot List", cover page from magazine and article on pp. 130-151.
 Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2008; Article entitled: "The Hot List", cover page from magazine and article on pp. 114-139.
 Excerpts from Golf Digest; magazine; Feb. 2009; Article entitled: "The Hot List", cover page from magazine and article on pp. 101-127.
 International Searching Authority (USPTO), International Search Report and Written Opinion for International Application No. PCT/US2009/049418, mailed Aug. 26, 2009, 10 pages.
 Declaration of Mr. Kraig Willett, Nov. 30, 2012.
 "Cleveland HiBore Driver Review," <http://thesandtrip.com>, 7 pages, May 19, 2006.
 "Invalidity Search Report for Japanese Registered Patent No. 4128970," 4pg. (Nov. 29, 2013.).

* cited by examiner




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8






Fig. 9






Fig. 12




Fig. 13




Fig. 14




Fig. 15





Fig. 17







Fig. 20




Fig. 21




Fig. 22




Fig. 24




Fig. 25




Fig. 26




Fig. 26a




Fig. 26b




Fig. 26c




Fig. 27




Fig. 28




Fig. 29




Fig. 30

Fig. 31




Fig. 32





Fig. 33






Fig. 34




Fig. 35

GOLF CLUB HEAD HAVING CROWN PROJECTIONS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/715,681, filed on Sep. 26, 2017, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/330,205, filed on Jul. 14, 2014, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,776,053, which is a divisional application of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/584,479, filed on Aug. 13, 2012, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,777,773, which is a divisional application of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/361,290, filed on Jan. 28, 2009, which claims the benefit of U.S. provisional patent application Ser. No. 61/080,892, filed on Jul. 15, 2008, and U.S. provisional patent application Ser. No. 61/101,919, filed on Oct. 1, 2008, all of which are incorporated by reference as if completely written herein.

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

This invention was not made as part of a federally sponsored research or development project.

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates to sports equipment; particularly, to an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step feature.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Modern high volume golf club heads, namely drivers, are being designed with little, if any, attention paid to the aerodynamics of the golf club head. This stems in large part from the fact that in the past the aerodynamics of golf club heads were studied and it was found that the aerodynamics of the club head had only minimal impact on the performance of the golf club.

The drivers of today have club head volumes that are often double the volume of the most advanced club heads from just a decade ago. In fact, virtually all modern drivers have club head volumes of at least 400 cc, with a majority having volumes right at the present USGA mandated limit of 460 cc. Still, golf club designers pay little attention to the aerodynamics of these large golf clubs; often instead focusing solely on increasing the club head's resistance to twisting during off-center shots.

The modern race to design golf club heads that greatly resist twisting, meaning that the club heads have large moments of inertia, has led to club heads having very long front-to-back dimensions. The front-to-back dimension of a golf club head, often annotated the FB dimension, is measured from the leading edge of the club face to the furthest back portion of the club head. Currently, in addition to the USGA limit on the club head volume, the USGA limits the front-to-back dimension (FB) to 5 inches and the moment of inertia about a vertical axis passing through the club head's center of gravity (CG), referred to as MOI, to 5900 g*cm². One of skill in the art will know the meaning of "center of gravity," referred to herein as CG, from an entry level course on mechanics. With respect to wood-type golf clubs, which are generally hollow and/or having non-uniform density, the CG is often thought of as the intersection of all the balance points of the club head. In other words, if you balance the

head on the face and then on the sole, the intersection of the two imaginary lines passing straight through the balance points would define the point referred to as the CG.

Until just recently the majority of drivers had what is commonly referred to as a "traditional shape" and a 460 cc club head volume. These large volume traditional shape drivers had front-to-back dimensions (FB) of approximately 4.0 inches to 4.3 inches, generally achieving an MOI in the range of 4000-4600 g*cm². As golf club designers strove to increase MOI as much as possible, the FB dimension of drivers started entering the range of 4.3 inches to 5.0 inches. The graph of FIG. 1 shows the FB dimension and MOI of 83 different club head designs and nicely illustrates that high MOI values come with large FB dimensions.

While increasing the FB dimension to achieve higher MOI values is logical, significant adverse effects have been observed in these large FB dimension clubs. One significant adverse effect is a dramatic reduction in club head speed, which appears to have gone unnoticed by many in the industry. The graph of FIG. 2 illustrates player test data with drivers having an FB dimension greater than 3.6 inches. The graph illustrates considerably lower club head speeds for large FB dimension drivers when compared to the club head speeds of drivers having FB dimensions less than 4.4 inches. In fact, a club head speed of 104.6 mph was achieved when swinging a driver having a FB dimension of less than 3.8 inches, while the swing speed dropped over 3% to 101.5 mph when swinging a driver with a FB dimension of slightly less than 4.8 inches.

This significant decrease in club head speed is the result of the increase in aerodynamic drag forces associated with large FB dimension golf club heads. Data obtained during extensive wind tunnel testing shows a strong correlation between club head FB dimension and the aerodynamic drag measured at several critical orientations. First, orientation one is identified in FIG. 11 with a flow arrow labeled as "Air Flow—90°" and is referred to in the graphs of the figures as "lie 90 degree orientation." This orientation can be thought of as the club head resting on the ground plane (GP) with the shaft axis (SA) at the club head's design lie angle, as seen in FIG. 8. Then a 100 mph wind is directed parallel to the ground plane (GP) directly at the club face (200), as illustrated by the flow arrow labeled "Air Flow—90°" in FIG. 11.

Secondly, orientation two is identified in FIG. 11 with a flow arrow labeled as "Air Flow—60°" and is referred to in the graphs of the figures as "lie 60 degree orientation." This orientation can be thought of as the club head resting on the ground plane (GP) with the shaft axis (SA) at the club head's design lie angle, as seen in FIG. 8. Then a 100 mph wind is oriented thirty degrees from a vertical plane normal to the face (200) with the wind originating from the heel (116) side of the club head, as illustrated by the flow arrow labeled "Air Flow—60°" in FIG. 11.

Thirdly, orientation three is identified in FIG. 12 with a flow arrow labeled as "Air Flow—Vert.—0°" and is referred to in the graphs of the figures as "vertical 0 degree orientation." This orientation can be thought of as the club head being oriented upside down with the shaft axis (SA) vertical while being exposed to a horizontal 100 mph wind directed at the heel (116), as illustrated by the flow arrow labeled "Air Flow—Vert.—0°" in FIG. 12. Thus, the air flow is parallel to the vertical plane created by the shaft axis (SA) seen in FIG. 11, blowing from the heel (116) to the toe (118) but with the club head oriented as seen in FIG. 12.

Now referring back to orientation one, namely the orientation identified in FIG. 11 with a flow arrow labeled as "Air Flow—90°." Normalized aerodynamic drag data has been

gathered for six different club heads and is illustrated in the graph of FIG. 5. At this point it is important to understand that all of the aerodynamic drag forces mentioned herein, unless otherwise stated, are aerodynamic drag forces normalized to a 120 mph airstream velocity. Thus, the illustrated aerodynamic drag force values are the actual measured drag force at the indicated airstream velocity multiplied by the square of the reference velocity, which is 120 mph, then divided by the square of the actual airstream velocity. Therefore, the normalized aerodynamic drag force plotted in FIG. 5 is the actual measured drag force when subjected to a 100 mph wind at the specified orientation, multiplied by the square of the 120 mph reference velocity, and then divided by the square of the 100 mph actual airstream velocity.

Still referencing FIG. 5, the normalized aerodynamic drag force increases non-linearly from a low of 1.2 lbf with a short 3.8 inch FB dimension club head to a high of 2.65 lbf for a club head having a FB dimension of almost 4.8 inches. The increase in normalized aerodynamic drag force is in excess of 120% as the FB dimension increases slightly less than one inch, contributing to the significant decrease in club head speed previously discussed.

The results are much the same in orientation two, namely the orientation identified in FIG. 11 with a flow arrow labeled as "Air Flow—60°." Again, normalized aerodynamic drag data has been gathered for six different club heads and is illustrated in the graph of FIG. 4. The normalized aerodynamic drag force increases non-linearly from a low of approximately 1.1 lbf with a short 3.8 inch FB dimension club head to a high of approximately 1.9 lbf for a club head having a FB dimension of almost 4.8 inches. The increase in normalized aerodynamic drag force is almost 73% as the FB dimension increases slightly less than one inch, also contributing to the significant decrease in club head speed previously discussed.

Again, the results are much the same in orientation three, namely the orientation identified in FIG. 12 with a flow arrow labeled as "Air Flow—Vert.—0°." Again, normalized aerodynamic drag data has been gathered for several different club heads and is illustrated in the graph of FIG. 3. The normalized aerodynamic drag force increases non-linearly from a low of approximately 1.15 lbf with a short 3.8 inch FB dimension club head to a high of approximately 2.05 lbf for a club head having a FB dimension of almost 4.8 inches. The increase in normalized aerodynamic drag force is in excess of 78% as the FB dimension increases slightly less than one inch, also contributing to the significant decrease in club head speed previously discussed.

Further, the graph of FIG. 6 correlates the player test club head speed data of FIG. 2 with the maximum normalized aerodynamic drag force for each club head from FIG. 3, 4, or 5. Thus, FIG. 6 shows that the club head speed drops from 104.6 mph, when the maximum normalized aerodynamic drag force is only 1.2 lbf, down to 101.5 mph, when the maximum normalized aerodynamic drag force is 2.65 lbf.

The drop in club head speed just described has a significant impact on the speed at which the golf ball leaves the club face after impact and thus the distance that the golf ball travels. In fact, for a club head speed of approximately 100 mph, each 1 mph reduction in club head speed results in approximately a 1% loss in distance. The present golf club head has identified these relationships, the reason for the drop in club head speed associated with long FB dimension clubs, and several ways to reduce the aerodynamic drag force of golf club heads.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The aerodynamic golf club head incorporates a trip step located on the crown section. The benefits associated with the reduction in aerodynamic drag force associated with the trip step may be applied to drivers, fairway woods, and hybrid type golf club heads having volumes as small as 75 cc and as large as allowed by the USGA at any point in time, currently 460 cc. The trip step is located between a crown apex and the back of the club head and may be continuous or discontinuous.

The trip step enables a significant reduction in the aerodynamic drag force exerted on the golf club head by forcing the air passing over the club head from laminar flow to turbulent flow just before the natural separation point of the airstream from the crown. This selectively engineered transition from laminar to turbulent flow over the crown section slightly increases the skin friction but results in less aerodynamic drag than if the air were to detach from the crown section at the natural separation point.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Without limiting the scope of the claimed high volume aerodynamic golf club, reference is now given to the drawings and figures:

FIG. 1 shows a graph of FB dimensions versus MOI;

FIG. 2 shows a graph of FB dimensions versus club head speed;

FIG. 3 shows a graph of FB dimensions versus club head normalized aerodynamic drag force;

FIG. 4 shows a graph of FB dimensions versus club head normalized aerodynamic drag force;

FIG. 5 shows a graph of FB dimensions versus club head normalized aerodynamic drag force;

FIG. 6 shows a graph of club head normalized aerodynamic drag force versus club head speed;

FIG. 7 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 8 shows a front elevation view of an aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 9 shows a toe side elevation view of an aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 10 shows a front elevation view of an aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 11 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 12 shows a rotated front elevation view of an aerodynamic golf club head with a vertical shaft axis orientation, not to scale;

FIG. 13 shows a front elevation view of an aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 14 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale;

FIG. 15 shows a toe side elevation view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale;

FIG. 16 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale;

FIG. 17 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale;

FIG. 18 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale;

FIG. 19 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale;

FIG. 20 shows a graph of normalized aerodynamic drag force versus club head orientation for three different configurations at 90 miles per hour;

FIG. 21 shows a graph of normalized aerodynamic drag force versus club head orientation for six different configurations at 110 miles per hour;

FIG. 22 shows a graph of normalized aerodynamic drag force versus club head orientation for six different configurations at 90 miles per hour;

FIG. 23 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale;

FIG. 24 shows a heel side elevation view of an aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 25 shows a toe side elevation view of an aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 26 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale;

FIG. 26a shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale;

FIG. 26b shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale;

FIG. 26c shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale;

FIG. 27 shows a toe side elevation view of an aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale;

FIG. 28 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale;

FIG. 29 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale;

FIG. 30 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale;

FIG. 31 shows a partial cross-sectional view taken along section line 31-31 of FIG. 30, not to scale;

FIG. 32 shows a partial cross-sectional view taken along section line 31-31 of FIG. 30, not to scale;

FIG. 33 shows a partial cross-sectional view taken along section line 31-31 of FIG. 30, not to scale;

FIG. 34 shows a top plan view of an aerodynamic golf club head having a trip step, not to scale; and

FIG. 35 shows a heel side elevation view of an aerodynamic golf club head, not to scale.

These drawings are provided to assist in the understanding of the exemplary embodiments of the golf club head as described in more detail below and should not be construed as unduly limiting the claimed golf club head. In particular, the relative spacing, positioning, sizing and dimensions of the various elements illustrated in the drawings are not drawn to scale and may have been exaggerated, reduced or otherwise modified for the purpose of improved clarity. Those of ordinary skill in the art will also appreciate that a range of alternative configurations have been omitted simply to improve the clarity and reduce the number of drawings.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The claimed aerodynamic golf club head (100) enables a significant advance in the state of the art. The preferred embodiments of the aerodynamic golf club head (100) accomplish this by new and novel arrangements of elements and methods that are configured in unique and novel ways and which demonstrate previously unavailable but preferred and desirable capabilities. The description set forth below in connection with the drawings is intended merely as a description of the presently preferred embodiments of the aerodynamic golf club head (100), and is not intended to represent the only form in which the aerodynamic golf club head (100) may be constructed or utilized. The description sets forth the designs, functions, means, and methods of implementing the aerodynamic golf club head (100) in

connection with the illustrated embodiments. It is to be understood, however, that the same or equivalent functions and features may be accomplished by different embodiments that are also intended to be encompassed within the spirit and scope of the claimed aerodynamic golf club head (100).

The present aerodynamic golf club head (100) has recognized that the poor aerodynamic performance of large FB dimension drivers is not due solely to the large FB dimension; rather, in an effort to create large FB dimension drivers with a high MOI_y value and low center of gravity (CG) dimension, golf club designers have generally created clubs that have very poor aerodynamic shaping. The main problems include significantly flat surfaces located incorrectly on the body, the lack of proper shaping to account for airflow attachment and reattachment in the areas trailing the face, the lack of proper trailing edge design, and failure to incorporate features that keep the airstream attached to the body as long as possible to further reduce aerodynamic drag. In addition, current large FB dimension driver designs have ignored, or even tried to maximize in some cases, the frontal cross sectional area of the golf club head which increases the aerodynamic drag force. The present golf club head (100) solves these issues.

In one of many embodiments disclosed herein, the present golf club head (100) has a volume of at least 400 cc. In this embodiment the golf club head (100) is characterized by a face-on normalized aerodynamic drag force of less than 1.5 lbf when exposed to a 100 mph wind parallel to the ground plane (GP) when the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) is positioned in a design orientation and the wind is oriented at the front (112) of the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100), as previously described with respect to FIG. 11 and the flow arrow labeled "air flow—90°." As explained in the "Background" section, but worthy of repeating in this section, all of the aerodynamic drag forces mentioned herein, unless otherwise stated, are aerodynamic drag forces normalized to a 120 mph airstream velocity. Thus, the above mentioned normalized aerodynamic drag force of less than 1.5 lbf when exposed to a 100 mph wind is the actual measured drag force at the indicated 100 mph airstream velocity multiplied by the square of the reference velocity, which is 120 mph, then divided by the square of the actual airstream velocity, which is 100 mph.

With general reference to FIGS. 7-9, the aerodynamic golf club head (100) includes a hollow body (110) having a face (200), a sole section (300), and a crown section (400). The hollow body (110) may be further defined as having a front (112), a back (114), a heel (116), and a toe (118). Further, in one particular embodiment, the hollow body (110) has a front-to-back dimension (FB) of at least 4.4 inches, as previously defined and illustrated in FIG. 7.

In yet another embodiment, a relatively large FB dimension allows the aerodynamic golf club head (100) to obtain beneficial moment of inertia values while obtaining superior aerodynamic properties unseen by other large volume, large FB dimension, high MOI golf club heads. Specifically, in yet another embodiment, the golf club head (100) obtains a first moment of inertia (MOI_y) about a vertical axis through a center of gravity (CG) of the golf club head (100), illustrated in FIG. 7, that is at least 4000 g*cm². MOI_y is the moment of inertia of the golf club head that resists opening and closing moments induced by ball strikes towards the toe side or heel side of the face. Further, the present embodiment obtains a second moment of inertia (MOI_x) about a horizontal axis through the center of gravity (CG), as seen in FIG. 9, that is at least 2000 g*cm². MOI_x is the moment of

inertia of the golf club head that resists lofting and delofting moments induced by ball strikes high or low on the face.

The present golf club head (100) obtains superior aerodynamic performance through the use of unique club head shapes and features. Referring now to FIG. 8, the crown section (400) has a crown apex (410) located an apex height (AH) above a ground plane (GP). The apex height (AH), as well as the location of the crown apex (410), play important roles in obtaining the desirable airflow reattachment and associated aerodynamic performance of the aerodynamic golf club head (100).

With reference now to FIGS. 9 and 10, the crown section (400) of the present embodiment has three distinct radii that improve the aerodynamic performance of the present golf club head (100). First, as seen in FIG. 9, a portion of the crown section (400) between the crown apex (410) and the front (112) has an apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) that is less than 3 inches. The apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) is measured in a vertical plane that is perpendicular to a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis, and the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) is further measured at the point on the crown section (400) between the crown apex (410) and the front (112) that has the smallest the radius of curvature.

Secondly, a portion of the crown section (400) between the crown apex (410) and the back (114) of the hollow body (110) has an apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) that is less than 3.75 inches. The apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) is also measured in a vertical plane that is perpendicular to a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis, and the apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) is further measured at the point on the crown section (400) between the crown apex (410) and the back (112) that has the smallest the radius of curvature.

Lastly, as seen in FIG. 10, a portion of the crown section (400) has a heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) at the crown apex (410) in a direction parallel to the vertical plane created by the shaft axis (SA) that is less than 4 inches. Such small radii of curvature have traditionally been avoided in the design of high volume golf club heads, especially in the design of high volume golf club heads having FB dimensions of 4.4 inches and greater. However, it is these tight radii that facilitate airflow reattachment as close to the face (200) as possible, thereby resulting in reduced aerodynamic drag forces and higher club head speed.

Conventional high volume large MOIy golf club heads having large FB dimensions, such as those seen in U.S. Pat. Nos. D544,939 and D543,600, have relatively flat crown sections that often never extend above the face. While these designs appear as though they should cut through the air, the opposite is often true with such shapes achieving poor airflow reattachment characteristics and increased aerodynamic drag forces. The present golf club head (100) has recognized the significance of proper club head shaping to account for airflow reattachment in the crown section (400) trailing the face (200), which is quite the opposite of the flat, steeply sloped crown sections of many prior art large FB dimension club heads. The crown section (400) of the present golf club head (100) will be described in greater detail later herein.

With reference now to FIG. 10, the face (200) has a top edge (210) and a lower edge (220). Further, as seen in FIGS. 8 and 9, the top edge (210) has a top edge height (TEH) that is the elevation of the top edge (210) above the ground plane (GP). Similarly, the lower edge (220) has a lower edge height (LEH) that is the elevation of the lower edge (220) above the ground plane (GP). The highest point along the top

edge (210) produces a maximum top edge height (TEH) that is at least 2 inches. Similarly, the lowest point along the lower edge (220) is a minimum lower edge height (LEH).

One of many significant advances of this embodiment is the design of an apex ratio that encourages airflow reattachment on the crown section (400) of the golf club head (100) as close to the face (200) as possible. In other words, the sooner that airflow reattachment is achieved the better the aerodynamic performance and the smaller the aerodynamic drag force. The apex ratio is the ratio of apex height (AH) to the maximum top edge height (TEH). As previously explained, in many large FB dimension golf club heads the apex height (AH) is no more than the top edge height (TEH). In this embodiment, the apex ratio is at least 1.13, thereby encouraging airflow reattachment as soon as possible.

Still further, another embodiment of the golf club head (100) further has a frontal cross sectional area that is less than 11 square inches. The frontal cross sectional area is the single plane area measured in a vertical plane bounded by the outline of the golf club head when it is resting on the ground plane (GP) at the design lie angle and viewed from directly in front of the face (200). The frontal cross sectional area is illustrated by the cross-hatched area of FIG. 13.

In yet a further embodiment, a second aerodynamic drag force is introduced, namely the 30 degree offset normalized aerodynamic drag force, as previously explained with reference to FIG. 11. In this embodiment the 30 degree offset normalized aerodynamic drag force is less than 1.3 lbf when exposed to a 100 mph wind parallel to the ground plane (GP) when the aerodynamic golf club head (100) is positioned in a design orientation and the wind is oriented thirty degrees from a vertical plane normal to the face (200) with the wind originating from the heel (116) side of the aerodynamic golf club head (100). In addition to having the face-on normalized aerodynamic drag force less than 1.5 lbf, introducing a 30 degree offset normalized aerodynamic drag force of less than 1.3 lbf further reduces the drop in club head speed associated with large volume, large FB dimension golf club heads.

Yet another embodiment introduces a third aerodynamic drag force, namely the heel normalized aerodynamic drag force, as previously explained with reference to FIG. 12. In this particular embodiment, the heel normalized aerodynamic drag force is less than 1.9 lbf when exposed to a horizontal 100 mph wind directed at the heel (116) with the body (110) oriented to have a vertical shaft axis (SA). In addition to having the face-on normalized aerodynamic drag force of less than 1.5 lbf and the 30 degree offset normalized aerodynamic drag force of less than 1.3 lbf, having a heel normalized aerodynamic drag force of less than 1.9 lbf further reduces the drop in club head speed associated with large volume, large FB dimension golf club heads.

A still further embodiment has recognized that having the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) at least 25% less than the apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) produces a particularly aerodynamic golf club head (100) further assisting in airflow reattachment. Yet another embodiment further encourages quick airflow reattachment by incorporating an apex ratio of the apex height (AH) to the maximum top edge height (TEH) that is at least 1.2. This concept is taken even further in yet another embodiment in which the apex ratio of the apex height (AH) to the maximum top edge height (TEH) is at least 1.25.

Reducing aerodynamic drag by encouraging airflow reattachment, or conversely discouraging extended lengths of airflow separation, may be further obtained in yet another embodiment in which the apex-to-front radius of curvature

(Ra-f) is less than the apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r), and the apex-to-rear radius of curvature (Ra-r) is less than the heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t). Such a shape is contrary to conventional high volume, long FB dimension golf club heads, yet produces a particularly aerodynamic shape.

Taking this embodiment a step further in another embodiment, a golf club head (100) having the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) less than 2.85 inches and the heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t) less than 3.85 inches produces an even smaller face-on aerodynamic drag force. Another embodiment focuses on the playability of the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) by having a maximum top edge height (TEH) that is at least 2 inches, thereby ensuring that the face area is not reduced to an unforgiving level. Even further, another embodiment incorporates a maximum top edge height (TEH) that is at least 2.15 inches.

The foregoing embodiments may be utilized having even larger FB dimensions. For example, the previously described aerodynamic attributes may be incorporated into an embodiment having a front-to-back dimension (FB) that is at least 4.6 inches, or even further a front-to-back dimension (FB) that is at least 4.75 inches. These embodiments allow the present aerodynamic golf club head (100) to obtain even higher MOI values without reducing club head speed due to excessive aerodynamic drag forces.

Yet a further embodiment balances all of the radii of curvature requirements to obtain an aerodynamic golf club head (100) while minimizing the risk of an unnatural appearing golf club head by ensuring that less than 10% of the club head volume is above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH). A further embodiment accomplishes the goals herein with a golf club head having between 5% to 10% of the club head volume located above the elevation of the maximum top edge height (TEH). This range achieves the desired crown apex (410) and radii of curvature to ensure desirable aerodynamic drag while maintaining an aesthetically pleasing look of the golf club head (100). The location of the crown apex (410) is dictated to a degree by the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f); however, yet a further embodiment identifies that the crown apex (410) should be behind the forwardmost point on the face (200) a distance that is a crown apex setback dimension (412), seen in FIG. 9, which is greater than 10% of the FB dimension and less than 70% of the FB dimension, thereby further reducing the period of airflow separation. One particular embodiment within this range incorporates a crown apex setback dimension (412) that is less than 1.75 inches. An even further embodiment balances playability with the volume shift toward the face associated with the present embodiment by positioning the performance mass to produce a center of gravity (CG) further away from the forwardmost point on the face (200) than the crown apex setback dimension (412).

Additionally, the heel-to-toe location of the crown apex (410) also plays a significant role in the aerodynamic drag force. The location of the crown apex (410) in the heel-to-toe direction is identified by the crown apex ht dimension (414), as seen in FIG. 8. This figure also introduces a heel-to-toe (HT) dimension which is measured in accordance with USGA rules. The location of the crown apex (410) is dictated to a degree by the heel-to-toe radius of curvature (Rh-t); however, yet a further embodiment identifies that the crown apex (410) location should result in a crown apex ht dimension (414) that is greater than 30% of the HT dimension and less than 70% of the HT dimension, further reducing the period of airflow separation. In an even further

embodiment, the crown apex (410) is located in the heel-to-toe direction between the center of gravity (CG) and the toe (118).

While the present aerodynamic golf club head (100) need not have a minimum club head volume, the reduction in aerodynamic drag force increases as the club head volume increases. Thus, while one embodiment is disclosed as having a club head volume of at least 400 cc, further embodiments incorporate the various features of the above described embodiments and increase the club head volume to at least 440 cc, or even further to the current USGA limit of 460 cc. However, one skilled in the art will appreciate that the specified radii and aerodynamic drag requirements are not limited to these club head sizes and apply to even larger club head volumes. Likewise, in one embodiment a heel-to-toe (HT) dimension, as seen in FIG. 8, is greater than the FB dimension, as measured in accordance with USGA rules.

Now, we turn our attention to further embodiments of the aerodynamic golf club head (100) that incorporate aerodynamic features solely, or in addition to the aerodynamic shaping previously discussed. The benefits of such aerodynamic features may be applied to drivers, fairway woods, and hybrid type golf club heads having volumes as small as 75 cc and as large as allowed by the USGA at any point in time, currently 460 cc. With reference to FIGS. 14-33, these embodiments of the aerodynamic golf club head (100) incorporate a trip step (500) located on the crown section (400).

As noted in the prior disclosure with reference to FIGS. 7-9, the crown section (400) has a crown apex (410) located an apex height (AH) above the ground plane (GP). As seen in FIGS. 14-19 and 23-30, the crown section (400) has the trip step (500) located between the crown apex (410) and the back (114). It is important to note that the trip step (500) may be continuous, however the trip step (500) may be comprised of many individual features that together form a discontinuous trip step (500) as seen in FIG. 29, which illustrates three examples of discontinuous trip steps (500). As seen in FIG. 29, the forward example includes at least three individual spaced apart features, which as later disclosed may be in the form of projections from the normal curvature of the club head (100), as seen in FIG. 24, or may be in the form of indentations in the normal curvature of the club head (100), as seen in FIG. 25. In fact, the forward examples includes nineteen individual spaced apart features including a most toeward feature, a most heelward feature, and seventeen intermediary features between the most toeward feature and the most heelward feature.

The trip step (500) is characterized by a trip step heel end (550), a trip step toe end (560), and a trip step thickness (540). The trip step heel end (550) merely refers to the fact that it is the end of the trip step (500) nearest the heel (116), and likewise the trip step toe end (560) merely refers to the fact that it is the end of the trip step (500) nearest the toe (118). Thus, the trip step (500) need only extend across a portion of the club head (100), and need not extend all the way from the heel (116) to the toe (118). Additionally, in one embodiment a trip step leading edge (510), located on the edge of the trip step (500) closest to the face (200), is separated from a trip step trailing edge (520), located on the edge of the trip step (500) closest to the back (114), by a trip step width (530). The trip step leading edge (510) has a leading edge profile (512), and likewise, in this embodiment, the trip step trailing edge (520) has a trailing edge profile (522).

In the embodiments of the present golf club head (100) that incorporate a discontinuous trip step (500), such as that

seen in FIG. 29, the trip step leading edge (510) is an imaginary edge connecting the forward most point on each of the individual trip step features. For example, assuming the club head (100) of FIG. 29 only contains the circular trip step features, then the trip step leading edge (510) is an imaginary line connecting the point on the circumference of each circular trip step feature that is nearest a vertical plane defined by the shaft axis (SA). Likewise, the trip step trailing edge (520) is an imaginary edge connecting the rearward most point on each of the individual trip step features. Thus, again using the example of the circular trip step features of FIG. 29, the trip step trailing edge (520) is an imaginary line connecting the point on the circumference of each circular trip step feature that is farthest from the vertical plane defined by the shaft axis (SA).

The same is true regardless of the shape of the individual trip step features, which may include rectangular and star shaped projections or indentations as seen in FIG. 29, as well as individual trip step features in the shape of triangles, polygons, including, but not limited to, concave polygons, constructible polygons, convex polygons, cyclic polygons, decagons, digons, dodecagons, enneagons, equiangular polygons, equilateral polygons, henagons, hendecagons, heptagons, hexagons, Lemoine hexagons, Tucker hexagons, icosagons, octagons, pentagons, regular polygons, stars, and star polygons; triangles, including, but not limited to, acute triangles, anticomplementary triangles, equilateral triangles, excentral triangles, tritangent triangles, isosceles triangles, medial triangles, auxiliary triangles, obtuse triangles, rational triangles, right triangles, scalene triangles, Reuleaux triangles; parallelograms, including, but not limited to, equilateral parallelograms: rhombuses, rhomboids, and Wittenbauer's parallelograms; Penrose tiles; rectangles; rhombus; squares; trapezium; quadrilaterals, including, but not limited to, cyclic quadrilaterals, tetrachords, chordal tetragons, and Brahmagupta's trapezium; equilateral quadrilateral kites; rational quadrilaterals; strombus; tangential quadrilaterals; tangential tetragons; trapezoids; polydrafters; annulus; arbelos; circles; circular sectors; circular segments; crescents; lunes; ovals; Reuleaux polygons; rotors; spheres; semicircles; triquetras; Archimedean spirals; astroids; paracycles; cubocycloids; deltoids; ellipses; smoothed octagons; super ellipses; and tomahawks; polyhedra; prisms; pyramids; and sections thereof, just to name a few. Each individual spaced apart trip step feature, including the previously disclosed toeward feature, which is a toeward projection in one embodiment, the disclosed heelward feature, which is a heelward projection in one embodiment, and the disclosed intermediary feature, which is an intermediary projection in one embodiment, has a perimeter defined by the top plan view shape of the feature. Such perimeters may have a single continuous curved perimeter edge, such as the forward example of FIG. 29 in which the shapes are round. Further, the perimeters may include a plurality of distinct perimeter edges, such as the rear example of FIG. 29 in which the shapes are five-pointed stars having ten distinct perimeter edges, including two edges that intersect at a point nearest the face, as well as the middle example of FIG. 29 in which the shapes are rectangles having four distinct perimeter edges. In the illustrated embodiment a forward-most edge of the heelward projection is not parallel to the face. In one embodiment, specifically the star shaped features of FIG. 29, but applicable to many of the disclosed shapes, at least two of the distinct projection perimeter edges intersect at the projection leading edge. The previously discussed trip step width (530), illustrated in FIG. 15, is equally applicable to each individual trip step feature, regardless of whether they are

projections or indentations. Additionally, referring to the examples of FIG. 29 again, a trip step width (530) of the round projection, from its leading edge to its trailing edge, is different than a trip step width (530) of the rectangular projection, which is different than a trip step width (530) of the star projection, therefore the trip step widths (530) of the projections may be unequal. As later disclosed with respect to the sawtooth pattern of FIGS. 14-19, the discontinuous trip step of FIG. 29 may likewise have a trip step leading edge (510) that is not parallel with the trip step trailing edge (520), however such an embodiment requires the creation of an imaginary leading edge (510) line connecting the forwardmost point of each individual trip step feature, and an imaginary trailing edge (520) line connecting the rearwardmost point of each individual trip step feature, illustrated only with respect to the round trip step features near the toe in FIG. 29. One skilled in art would recognize that if the discontinuous trip step were created by a round feature, adjacent a rectangular feature, adjacent a star feature, the associated imaginary leading edge (510) line would not be parallel with the associated imaginary trailing edge (520) line. The trailing edge of the individual trip step features of FIG. 29 may define angles similar to the heel section angle (576) and the toe section angle (581) of FIG. 16. For example the trailing edge of the first two most heelward features of FIG. 29 define an angle β from a second imaginary vertical plane passing through the crown apex (410). Likewise, the trailing edge of the most toeward feature and the trailing edge of the feature nearest the first imaginary vertical plane, on the toe-side of the first imaginary vertical plane, define an angle α from the second imaginary vertical plane. The second imaginary vertical plane is perpendicular to a first imaginary vertical plane, which passes through the crown apex is parallel to the front-to-back dimension, or alternatively is perpendicular to the shaft axis (SA) shown in FIG. 16. Consistent with the disclosure regarding the heel section angle (576) and the toe section angle (581) of FIG. 16 being at least five degrees, in one embodiment the angle α , and/or the angle β , is at least five degrees. The present features were selected for the ease of illustrating angle α and angle β , but may be applied to any of the individual trip step features. Trailing edge distances are easily understood with respect to FIGS. 29 and 34. For instance, a first distance is measured from the toeward projection trailing edge to a second imaginary vertical plane passing through the crown apex and perpendicular to the first imaginary vertical plane, a second distance is measured from the heelward projection trailing edge to the second imaginary vertical plane, and a third distance is measured from the intermediary projection trailing edge to the second imaginary vertical plane. With reference again to FIG. 29, one skilled in the art would appreciate that a number of the individual trip step features have a first distance that is greater than the second distance; and similarly a third distance that is less than the first distance and/or the second distance. Any of the projections located between the toe and the first imaginary vertical plane passing through the crown apex, may be considered the toeward projection. Likewise, any of the projections located between the heel and the first imaginary vertical plane passing through the crown apex, may be considered the heelward projection. Further, any of the projections located between two other projections may be considered an intermediary projection, but as illustrated in FIG. 29 some of the intermediary projections are located between the toe and the first imaginary vertical plane passing through the crown apex.

As previously mentioned, the trip step (500) is located between the crown apex (410) and the back (114); as such, several elements are utilized to identify the location of the trip step (500). As seen in FIGS. 14 and 15, the trip step leading edge (510) is located a trip step offset (514) behind the forwardmost point of the face top edge (210) in a direction perpendicular to a vertical plane through the shaft axis (SA). Further, as seen in FIG. 15, the trip step (500) conforms to the curvature of the crown section (400) and is located behind the crown apex (410) an apex-to-leading edge offset (516), also measured in a direction perpendicular to a vertical plane through the shaft axis (SA). Additionally, as seen in FIGS. 17 and 19, the trip step leading edge (510) at the trip step heel end (550) is located behind the crown apex (410) an apex-to-heel LE offset (517), and likewise, the trip step leading edge (510) at the trip step toe end (560) is located behind the crown apex (410) an apex-to-toe LE offset (518). Thus, in the straight-line embodiment of FIGS. 14-15 the apex-to-heel LE offset (517) and the apex-to-toe LE offset (518) are equal to the apex-to-leading edge offset (516).

The trip step (500) enables significant reduction in the aerodynamic drag force exerted on the golf club head (100). For instance, FIG. 20 is a graph illustrating the normalized aerodynamic drag force measured when a golf club head is exposed to a 90 mph wind in various positions. The graph illustrates the results for the high volume aerodynamic golf club head (100) previously described without a trip step, compared to the same club head with a trip step (500) located at various positions on the crown section (400). The "offset" referred to in the legend of FIG. 20 is the trip step offset (514) seen in FIG. 15. Thus, experiments were performed and data was gathered for each club head variation at thirteen different orientations from 0 degrees to 120 degrees, in 10 degree increments. The orientations and associated wind direction have been previously touched on and will not be revisited here.

The graph of FIG. 20 clearly illustrates that the lowest normalized aerodynamic drag was achieved when the trip step (500) was located with a two inch trip step offset (514). In fact, the zero degree orientation was the only position in which the normalized aerodynamic drag of the two inch trip step offset (514) was not the lowest of all six variations. The two inch trip step offset (514) is unique in that all the other trip step (500) locations actually produced increased normalized aerodynamic drag at over 80 percent of the orientations when compared to the non-trip step club head.

Interestingly, the final entry in the graph legend of FIG. 20 is "Trip Step @ 2.0 in. Offset C&S" and the line representing this variation produced the second worst normalized aerodynamic drag force numbers. In this variation the "C&S" language refers to "crown" and "sole." Thus, the two inch trip step offset (514) that greatly reduced the normalized aerodynamic drag force when applied to the crown section (400) actually significantly increased the normalized aerodynamic drag force when the trip step (500) was also applied to the sole section (300) of the club head.

In this embodiment the present golf club head (100) has uniquely identified the window of opportunity to apply a trip step (500) and obtain reduced aerodynamic drag force. The trip step (500) must be located behind the crown apex (410). Further, specific locations, shapes, and edge profiles provide preferred aerodynamic results. One embodiment of the golf club head (100) provides a golf club head (100) having a face-on normalized aerodynamic drag force of less than 1.0 lbf when exposed to a 90 mph wind parallel to the ground plane (GP) when the aerodynamic golf club head (100) is

positioned in a design orientation and the wind is oriented at the front (112) of the aerodynamic golf club head (100). In a further embodiment the normalized aerodynamic drag force is less than 1.0 lbf throughout the orientations from 0 degrees up to 110 degrees. In yet another embodiment the normalized aerodynamic drag force is 0.85 lbf or less throughout the orientation of 10 degrees up to 90 degrees. Still further, the two inch trip step offset (514) of FIG. 20 reduced the normalized aerodynamic drag force on average approximately fifteen percent over the club without a trip step throughout the orientation range of 30 degrees up to 90 degrees; conversely, every other trip step (500) location increased the normalized aerodynamic drag force throughout this orientation range.

At a higher wind speed of 110 mph, seen in FIG. 21, all of the crown only trip step (500) embodiments reduced the normalized aerodynamic drag force compared to the non-trip step club. At the higher wind speed the reduction in normalized aerodynamic drag force is even more significant than at the 90 mph wind speed throughout a majority of the orientations. However, the large variations in the normalized aerodynamic drag force associated with various trip step (500) locations is greatly reduced. Since most golfers swing their fairway woods and hybrid type clubs at 80-90 percent of their driver swing speed, FIG. 20 illustrates that the present golf club head (100) is particularly effective at reducing aerodynamic drag force at lower wind speeds making it ideal for fairway woods and hybrid type golf clubs, as well as drivers. Thus, the trip step (500) may be beneficially incorporated in golf club heads of all sizes.

The trip step thickness (540), seen in FIG. 15, is preferably less than $\frac{1}{16}$ inch, but may be as much as $\frac{1}{8}$ inch. In one particular embodiment the trip step (500) is positioned such that the greatest elevation of the trip step (500) above the ground plane (GP) is less than the apex height (AH), thus the trip step (500) is not visible from a front on face elevation view. The trip step (500) forces the air passing over the aerodynamic club head (100) from laminar flow to turbulent flow just before the natural separation point. This selectively engineered transition from laminar to turbulent flow over the crown section (400) slightly increases the skin friction, but causes less drag than if the air were to detach from the crown section (400) at the natural separation point.

In yet another embodiment, the lineal length of the trip step (500) is greater than seventy-five percent of the heel-to-toe dimension (HT). This length of trip step (500) causes the laminar to turbulent transition over enough of the crown section (400) to achieve the desired reduction in aerodynamic drag force. Further, in another embodiment, the trip step (500) is continuous and uninterrupted. An even further embodiment with a bulbous crown section (400) incorporates a trip step (500) in which the lineal length of the trip step (500) is greater than the heel-to-toe dimension (HT). However, even in this embodiment the trip step (500) is limited to the crown section (400).

While the trip step (500) may extend across a significant portion of the surface of the golf club head (100), it need only extend across a majority of the toe (118) portion of the crown section (400) to obtain the desired reduction in aerodynamic drag force. For example, the trip step (500) of FIG. 26 extends across virtually all of the toe (118) portion of the crown section (400); where the toe (118) portion is defined by the portion of the golf club (100) from the center of the face outward to the toe (118) in the direction parallel to the shaft axis. Thus, when viewing the club head (100) of FIG. 26, the trip step (500) need only extend across at least 50 percent of the crown toe projection distance (420), where

the crown toe projection distance (420) is defined as the two dimensional distance measured in a direction parallel to the shaft axis (SA) in a plane parallel to the ground plane (GP) from the center of the face (200) to the most distant toe (118) portion of the club head (100). In the embodiment of FIG. 26 it just happens to be that the center of the face is inline with the crown apex (410), however this is not required. Therefore, the embodiments seen in FIGS. 26a, 26b, and 26c, each incorporate trip steps (500) achieve desired reductions in aerodynamic drag force with variations of the trip step (500) that extend across at least 50 percent of the crown toe projection distance (420). Further, in the embodiments incorporating discontinuous trip step features, the overall free space between the trip step features should be less than seventy-five percent of the lineal length of the trip step (500) from the heel end (550) to the toe end (560) where the free space is the distance between adjacent trip step features measured in a direction parallel to the shaft axis; as such spacing achieves the necessary disruption in air flow to keep the air attached to the club head (100) beyond the normal non trip step separation points.

The leading edge profile (512) of the trip step (500) may be virtually any configuration. Further, the trip step leading edge (510) does not have to be parallel to the trip step trailing edge (520), thus the trip step width (530) may be variable. In one particular embodiment, the leading edge profile (512) includes a sawtooth pattern to further assist in the transition from laminar to turbulent flow. The sawtooth leading edge profile (512), seen in FIGS. 14-19, creates vortices promoting turbulence at the desired engineered locations. The graph of FIG. 22 illustrates that a sawtooth leading edge profile (512) significantly reduces the normalized aerodynamic drag forces, while a similar pattern on the trailing edge profile (522) has minimal impact on the aerodynamic drag forces throughout the orientations. Close comparison of the "No Trip Step" curve and the "Trip Step w/ Leading Edge Sawtooth" curve illustrate an approximately 24% reduction in normalized aerodynamic drag force for the positions ranging from zero degrees to ninety degrees.

Further, a trip step width (530) of ¼ inch or less produces a desirable air flow transition. Still further, one embodiment has a trip step width (530) of less than the apex-to-leading edge offset (516). The trip step width (530) does not have to be uniform across the entire length of the trip step (500).

Yet another embodiment has an apex-to-leading edge offset (516), seen best in FIG. 15, of less than fifty percent of the crown apex setback dimension (412) thereby further promoting the transition from laminar to turbulent flow. An even further embodiment obtains desirable reduction in aerodynamic drag force while narrowing the preferred apex-to-leading edge offset (516) range to at least ten percent of the crown apex setback dimension (412). Thus, in this one of many embodiments, the preferred location for the trip step (500) has an apex-to-leading edge offset (516) that is ten to fifty percent of the crown apex setback dimension (412).

While the trip step (500) of FIG. 14 is a single straight trip step (500) with the trip step leading edge (510) parallel to a vertical plane through the shaft axis (SA); the trip step (500) may include several distinct sections, which need not be continuous. For example, the trip step (500) of FIG. 17 is a multi-sectional trip step (570) having at least a heel oriented trip step section (575) and a toe oriented trip step section (580). In this embodiment, the forward most point of the multi-sectional trip step (570) is located behind the crown apex (410) and each section (575, 580) angles back from this forward most point. The heel oriented trip step section (575)

diverges from a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA) at a heel section angle (576), and likewise the toe oriented trip step section (580) diverges from a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis at a toe section angle (581). The measurement of these angles (576, 581) can be thought of as the projection of the trip step (500) directed vertically downward onto the ground plane (GP) with the angle then measured along the ground plane (GP) from the vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA). One particular embodiment reduces aerodynamic drag force with a design in which the heel oriented trip step section (575) forms a heel section angle (576) of at least five degrees, and the toe oriented trip step section (580) forms a toe section angle (581) of at least five degrees.

The introduction of the multi-sectional trip step (570) affords numerous embodiments of the trip step (500). One particular embodiment simply incorporates a design in which aerodynamic drag force is reduced by incorporating a trip step (500) that has an apex-to-heel LE offset (517) that is greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (516), and an apex-to-toe LE offset (518) that is greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (516), which is true of the embodiment seen in FIG. 17. In yet another embodiment, the relationships just described are taken even further, while obtaining a reduction in aerodynamic drag force. In fact, in this embodiment the apex-to-heel LE offset (517) is at least fifty percent greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (516), and the apex-to-toe LE offset (518) is at least fifty percent greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (516).

Another embodiment of the multi-sectional trip step (570) variation incorporates a face oriented trip step section (585) that is parallel to the vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA), as seen in FIG. 16. Thus, this embodiment incorporates a section (585) that is essentially parallel to the face (200), and a section that is not. Such embodiments capitalize on the fact that during a golf swing air does not merely pass over the crown section (400) from the face (200) to the back (114) in a straight manner. In fact, a large portion of the swing is occupied with the golf club head (100) slicing through the air being led by the hosel (120), or the heel (116) side of the club. That said, reducing the face-on aerodynamic drag force, also referred to as the "Air Flow—90°" orientation of FIG. 11, plays a significant role in reducing the aerodynamic drag forces that prevent a golfer from obtaining a higher swing speed. One particular embodiment takes advantage of this discovery by ensuring that the lineal length of the face oriented trip step section (585) is greater than fifty percent of the heel-to-toe dimension (HT).

Yet another embodiment, seen in FIG. 16, incorporates a heel oriented trip step section (575), a toe oriented trip step section (580), and a face oriented trip step section (585). This embodiment has a heel trip step transition point (577) delineating the heel oriented trip step section (575) from the face oriented trip step section (585). Likewise, a toe trip step transition point (582) delineates the toe oriented trip step section (580) from the face oriented trip step section (585). The location of these transition points (577, 582) are identified via a heel transition point offset (578) and a toe transition point offset (583), both seen in FIG. 16. These are distances measured from the crown apex (410) to the locations of the transition points (577, 582) in a direction parallel to a vertical plane passing through the shaft axis (SA). In this particular embodiment it is preferred to have the heel transition point offset (578) greater than the apex-to-heel leading edge offset (517) seen in FIG. 17. Similarly, in this embodiment it is preferred to have the toe transition point offset (583) greater than the toe-to-heel leading edge

offset (518) seen in FIG. 17. This unique relationship recognizes the importance of reducing the face-on aerodynamic drag force, also referred to as the “Air Flow—90°” orientation of FIG. 11, while not ignoring the desire to reduce the aerodynamic drag force in other orientations.

Another embodiment directed to the achieving a preferential balance of reducing the aerodynamic drag force in multiple orientations incorporates a curved trip step (500), as seen in FIGS. 18 and 19. The curve of the curved trip step (500) is defined by a vertical projection of the curved trip step (500) onto the ground plane (GP). Then, this translated projection of the outline of the curved trip step (500), or more precisely the trip step leading edge (510), may be identified as having at least one trip step radius of curvature (Rts). In one embodiment, preferred reduction in the aerodynamic drag force is found when the center of the trip step radius of curvature (Rts) is behind the crown apex (410) and the trip step radius of curvature (Rts) is less than twice the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f), seen in FIG. 9. Further, another embodiment having the trip step radius of curvature (Rts) between 0.5 and 1.5 times the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) provides a reduction in the aerodynamic drag force. Further, yet another embodiment incorporates a trip step radius of curvature (Rts) that is less than the bulge of the face (200). An even further embodiment incorporates a trip step radius of curvature (Rts) that is less than the roll of the face (200). One particular embodiment incorporates a trip step radius of curvature (Rts) that is less than twice the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f), seen in FIG. 9, while having a trip step radius of curvature (Rts) that is less than both the bulge and the roll of the face (200). These newly developed trip step radius of curvature (Rts) ranges tend to result in a trip step (500) curvature that mimics the natural curvature of the air flow separation on the crown section (400) of a golf club head (100), thereby further reducing the aerodynamic drag force.

Yet another embodiment places the trip step (500) at, or slightly in front of, the natural location of air flow separation on the crown section (400) of the club head (100) without the trip step (500). Thus, a club head (100) designed for higher swing speed golfers, such as professional golfers having swing speeds in excess of 110 mph, would have smaller apex-to-leading edge offset (516) than that of a golf club head (100) designed for lower swing speed golfers, such as average golfers with swing speeds of less than 100 mph. This is because air flow passing over the club head (100) at 110 mph naturally wants to separate from the crown section (400) closer to the face (200) of the club head (100). Similarly, air flow passing over the club head (100) at 90 mph tends to stay attached to the crown section (400) much longer and naturally separates from the crown section (400) much further from the face (200) of the golf club (100) than separation naturally occurs at higher air flow velocities.

Therefore, in yet another embodiment, the club head (100) is available in at least two versions; namely one version for high swing speed golfers and one version for lower swing speed golfers. Thus, the “player’s club” high swing speed version would have a smaller apex-to-leading edge offset (516) than the more “game improvement club” lower swing speed version. In fact, this may be taken even further in the extremes for extremely fast swing speeds such as those that compete in long drive competitions with swing speeds in excess of 130 mph and, at the other end of the spectrum, for extremely slow swing speeds, less than 85 mph, typically associated with senior’s golf clubs and women’s golf clubs. Therefore, an entire family of clubs may exist with a long drive version of the club head (100) having

a trip step (500) slightly behind the crown apex (410), a player’s club version of the club head (100) having a trip step (500) slightly behind that of the long drive version, a game improvement version of the club head (100) having a trip step (500) slightly behind that of the player’s club version, a super game improvement version of the club head (100) having a trip step (500) slightly behind that of the game improvement version, a senior’s version of the club head (100) having a trip step (500) slightly behind that of the super game improvement version, and a women’s version of the club head (100) having a trip step (500) slightly behind that of the senior’s version, or some combination thereof.

In other words, the apex-to-leading edge offset (516) would be the greatest for club heads (100) designed for slow swing speed golfers and it would approach zero for extremely fast swing speed golfers. In one particular embodiment the apex-to-leading edge offset (516) increases by at least twenty five percent for each 10 mph decrease in design swing speed. Therefore, in one customizable embodiment the trip step (500) is adjustable, or repositionable, so that the location can be adjusted toward, or away from, the crown apex (410) to suit a particular player’s swing speed. Similarly, in another embodiment the trip step (500) is adjustable in a heel-to-toe direction. Such adjustments may be made in the process of fitting a golfer for a preferred golf club head (100).

Wind tunnel testing, such as a paint streak test, can be performed to visually illustrate the natural air flow separation pattern on the crown of a particular golf club head design. Then, a curved trip step (500) may be applied to a portion of the crown section (400) at the natural air flow separation curve, or slightly forward of the natural air flow separation curve in a direction toward the face (200). Thus, in this embodiment, seen in FIG. 19, a curved trip step (500) extends over a portion of the crown section (400) from a location behind the crown apex (410) and extending toward the toe (118). In this embodiment, the curved trip step (500) curves from a forward most point behind the crown apex (410) to a most rearward point at the trip step toe end (560). In one particular embodiment, preferred aerodynamic performance is anticipated when the apex-to-toe LE offset (518) is greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (516). Even further reduction in aerodynamic drag force is achieved when the apex-to-toe LE offset (518) is at least fifty percent greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (516).

The curved trip step (500) does not need to be one continuous smooth curve. In fact, the curved trip step (500) may be a compound curve. Further, as previously mentioned, the curved trip step (500) is not required to extend toward the heel (116) of the golf club because the disruption in the air flow pattern caused by the hosel (120) results in turbulent air flow near the heel (116), and thus it is unlikely a reduction in aerodynamic drag force will be achieved by extending the curved trip step (500) all the way to the heel (116). However, the aesthetically pleasing embodiment of FIG. 19 incorporates a relatively symmetric curved trip step (500) so that it is not distracting to the golfer. Thus, in this one embodiment the apex-to-heel LE offset (517) is greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (516), and the apex-to-toe LE offset (518) is greater than the apex-to-leading edge offset (516).

Further, an additional embodiment, seen in FIG. 23 recognizes this hosel (120) created turbulence and incorporates a trip step (500) having at least two trip step radii; namely a toe radius of curvature (Rtst), on the portion of the trip step (500) nearest the toe (118) side of the club head (100), and a heel radius of curvature (Rtsh), on the portion of the trip

step (500) nearest the heel (116) side of the club head (100). This embodiment has a heel radius of curvature (Rtsh) is greater than the toe radius of curvature (Rtst), thereby taking advantage of the fact that the air flow separates from the club head (100) on the heel (116) side significantly more toward the face than the natural separation points on the toe (118) side of the club head (100). Therefore, one of the many embodiments herein incorporates a trip step (500) having a heel radius of curvature (Rtsh) that is at least ten percent greater than the toe radius of curvature (Rtst). An even further embodiment incorporates a trip step (500) having a heel radius of curvature (Rtsh) that is at least twenty-five percent greater than the toe radius of curvature (Rtst).

One further embodiment recognizes that a preferential reduction in aerodynamic drag force is obtained when at least a portion of the trip step (500) has a trip step radius of curvature (Rts) that is less than the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f). An even further embodiment incorporates a trip step (500) in which at least a portion of the trip step (500) has a trip step radius of curvature (Rts) that is less than four inches. Likewise, recognizing that the curvature of the crown's rear natural airflow separation line is generally tighter and better defined on the toe side (118) of the club head (100), an even further embodiment incorporates a trip step (500) in which at least a portion of the trip step (500) has a toe radius of curvature (Rtst) that is less than four inches. Such a small, or tight, trip step radius of curvature (Rts) ensures that at least a portion of the trip step (500) tends to mimic the shape of natural airflow separation from the rear of the crown section (400).

As previously touched upon, the trip step (500) may be in the form of a projection from the normal curvature of the club head (100), as seen in FIG. 24, or may be in the form of an indentation in the normal curvature of the club head (100), as seen in FIG. 25. Thus, in these indentation embodiments the trip step (500) has a trip step depth (545). All of the discussion herein with reference to the trip step (500), and specifically the trip step (500) shape and location, applies equally to an indentation, or negative change in the normal curvature of the club head (100). Thus, just as a positive projecting trip step (500) creates turbulence prior to the natural point of air separation from the club head (100) thereby keeping the air flow attached to the club head (100) longer and reducing the aerodynamic drag force, a negative indentation trip step (500) having a trip step depth (545) does the same and affords similar benefits. While the trip step (500) location and shape, as previously explained, are the leading factors in the reduction of aerodynamic drag, in yet another embodiment the trip step depth (545) is preferably at least five percent of the difference between the apex height (AH) and the top edge height (TEH), seen in FIG. 9. In a further embodiment a desirable reduction in aerodynamic drag force is found when the trip step width (530) is at least as great as the trip step depth (545). Just as with the positive projecting trip step (500) embodiments, the negative indented trip step (500) of FIG. 25 need not have a defined, or identifiable, trip step trailing edge (520). Thus, the positive trip step plateau of FIG. 27 may alternatively be a negative low lying region.

Further, the trip step (500) need not have a specifically identifiable trip step trailing edge (520), as seen in FIGS. 27, 28, and 30-33. In other words, these embodiments have distinct trip step leading edges (510), while the remainder of the trip step (500) remains of constant thickness (540) or transitions back to the normal curvature of the club head (100) in a smooth transition. The distinct leading edge (510) provides the engineered creation of turbulence that keeps the

airflow attached to the club head (100) longer than that of a normal trip step club head while having little, if any, negative effect as a result of the lack of a distinct trailing edge (520). The trip step (500) of FIG. 24 has a trip step thickness (540) that gradually increases from the leading edge (510) to a maximum thickness, and the trip step thickness (540) of FIG. 23 also gradually increases from the trailing edge (520) to the maximum thickness, and similar trip step thickness (540) variations are seen in FIGS. 34 and 35. Thus, in one such embodiment, seen in FIG. 27, the trip step (500) is essentially a positive plateau on the crown section (400); however, as previously explained, it could also be a negative plateau and achieve similar effect.

The trip step (500) may be achieved with any number of construction techniques. One embodiment incorporates an increase in material thickness, or a reduction of material thickness. Alternatively, another embodiment creates the trip step (500) with the addition of an adhesive graphic of the shape and thickness defined herein. Further, an additional embodiment incorporates an increase, or decrease, in the finish thickness of the club head (100), as seen in FIGS. 31-33, as would be experienced with additional layers of paint, or lack thereof. Still further embodiments incorporate material milling and working processes to create the trip step (500). Such processes may include, but are not limited to, peening and stamping techniques. Yet further embodiments incorporate a change in material finish, such as the use of a matte finish, or any finish having a rougher surface texture than the portion of the club head (100) in front of the trip step (500), i.e. toward the face (200), as seen in FIGS. 31 and 33.

Numerous alterations, modifications, and variations of the preferred embodiments disclosed herein will be apparent to those skilled in the art and they are all anticipated and contemplated to be within the spirit and scope of the instant aerodynamic golf club head. For example, although specific embodiments have been described in detail, those with skill in the art will understand that the preceding embodiments and variations can be modified to incorporate various types of substitute and or additional or alternative materials, relative arrangement of elements, and dimensional configurations. Accordingly, even though only few variations of the present aerodynamic golf club head are described herein, it is to be understood that the practice of such additional modifications and variations and the equivalents thereof, are within the spirit and scope of the aerodynamic golf club head as defined in the following claims. The corresponding structures, materials, acts, and equivalents of all means or step plus function elements in the claims below are intended to include any structure, material, or acts for performing the functions in combination with other claimed elements as specifically claimed.

We claim:

1. A golf club head comprising:

- a) a body having a club head volume of at least 400 cc, a face, a sole section, a crown section, a front, a back, a heel, a toe, and a front-to-back dimension of at least 4.4 inches;
- b) the face having a top edge and a lower edge, wherein a top edge height is the elevation of the top edge above a ground plane, and a lower edge height is the elevation of the lower edge above the ground plane, wherein a maximum top edge height is at least 2 inches;
- c) the crown section having a crown apex located an apex height above the ground plane, wherein a portion of the crown section between the crown apex and the face has an apex-to-front radius of curvature, and wherein the

21

crown section has a toward projection located between the toe and a first imaginary vertical plane passing through the crown apex and parallel to the front-to-back dimension, a heelward projection located between the heel and the first imaginary vertical plane, and an intermediary projection located between the toward projection and the heelward projection, wherein:

- i) the toward projection extends upward from the crown section and rearward before transitioning down to the crown section thereby defining a varying toward projection thickness measured vertically from the crown section to a top surface of the toward projection, and defining a toward projection perimeter having a toward projection leading edge located a toward projection offset behind the face top edge and a portion of the toward projection leading edge is at an elevation above the ground plane that is greater than a maximum top edge height, and a toward projection trailing edge located nearest the back and defining a toward projection width measured in a front-to-back direction from the toward projection leading edge to the toward projection trailing edge, wherein a portion of the toward projection is above the top edge height and a portion of the toward projection is below the top edge height;
 - ii) the heelward projection extends upward from the crown section and rearward before transitioning down to the crown section thereby defining a varying heelward projection thickness measured vertically from the crown section to a top surface of the heelward projection, and defining a heelward projection perimeter having a heelward projection leading edge located a heelward projection offset behind the face top edge and a portion of the heelward projection leading edge is at an elevation above the ground plane that is greater than a maximum top edge height, and a heelward projection trailing edge located nearest the back and defining a heelward projection width measured in a front-to-back direction from the heelward projection leading edge to the heelward projection trailing edge;
 - iii) the intermediary projection extends upward from the crown section and rearward before transitioning down to the crown section thereby defining a varying intermediary projection thickness measured vertically from the crown section to a top surface of the intermediary projection, and defining an intermediary projection perimeter having an intermediary projection leading edge located an intermediary projection offset behind the face top edge and a portion of the intermediary projection leading edge is at an elevation above the ground plane that is greater than a maximum top edge height, and an intermediary projection trailing edge located nearest the back and defining an intermediary projection width measured in a front-to-back direction from the intermediary projection leading edge to the intermediary projection trailing edge;
 - iv) a portion of the toward projection and a portion of the heelward projection is located between the crown apex and the back;
- d) the golf club head (100) has:
- i) a first moment of inertia about a vertical axis through a center of gravity of the golf club head (100) that is at least 4000 g*cm²;

22

- ii) a second moment of inertia about a horizontal axis through the center of gravity that is at least 2000 g*cm²; and
 - iii) a ratio of the apex height to the maximum top edge height of at least 1.13.
2. The golf club head of claim 1, wherein a forward-most edge of the heelward projection is not parallel to the face.
 3. The golf club head of claim 2, wherein an imaginary leading edge line is not parallel to an imaginary trailing edge line, wherein the imaginary leading edge line is created by connecting the toward projection leading edge, the heelward projection leading edge, and the intermediary projection leading edge, and the imaginary trailing edge line is created by connecting the toward projection trailing edge, the heelward projection trailing edge, and the intermediary projection trailing edge.
 4. The golf club head of claim 3, wherein a portion of the toward projection, the heelward projection, and the intermediary projection is located between the crown apex and the back, the imaginary trailing edge line connecting the intermediary projection trailing edge and the toward projection trailing edge is at an angle of at least five degrees from a second imaginary vertical plane passing through the crown apex and perpendicular to the first imaginary vertical plane, and the imaginary trailing edge line connecting the intermediary projection trailing edge and the heelward projection trailing edge is at an angle of at least five degrees from the second imaginary vertical plane.
 5. The golf club head of claim 3, wherein the toward projection thickness is 0.0625-0.125", the heelward projection thickness is 0.0625-0.125", and the intermediary projection thickness is 0.0625-0.125".
 6. The golf club head of claim 1, wherein a first distance is measured from the toward projection trailing edge to a second imaginary vertical plane passing through the crown apex and perpendicular to the first imaginary vertical plane, a second distance is measured from the heelward projection trailing edge to the second imaginary vertical plane, and the first distance is greater than the second distance.
 7. The golf club head of claim 6, wherein a third distance is measured from the intermediary projection trailing edge to the second imaginary vertical plane, and the third distance is less than the first distance.
 8. The golf club head of claim 7, wherein the third distance is less than the second distance.
 9. The golf club head of claim 1, wherein the intermediary projection is located between the toe and the first imaginary vertical plane passing through the crown apex and parallel to the front-to-back dimension.
 10. The golf club head of claim 1, wherein at least two of the toward projection offset, the heelward projection offset, and the intermediary projection offset are not equal.
 11. The golf club head of claim 1, wherein the projections have a finish with a projection surface texture, the face has a face surface texture, and the projection surface texture is rougher than the face surface texture.
 12. The golf club head of claim 1, wherein the front-to-back dimension of at least 4.6 inches.
 13. The golf club head of claim 12, wherein the front-to-back dimension of at least 4.75 inches.
 14. The golf club head of claim 13, wherein the apex-to-front radius of curvature (Ra-f) in contact with the crown apex is less than an apex-to-rear radius of curvature in contact with the crown apex, and the apex-to-rear radius of

curvature in contact with the crown apex is less than a heel-to-toe radius of curvature in contact with the crown apex.

* * * * *